## **Trustees of the California State University**

## **Resolutions**

Resolutions passed by the CSU Board of Trustees at their meeting held on November 13-14, 2007 in the Dumke Auditorium located at the California State University Office of the Chancellor, 401 Golden Shore, Long Beach, CA 90802

### **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

## **Creation of Ad Hoc Committee (RBOT 11-07-08)**

**RESOLVED,** by the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that this Board creates the Ad Hoc Committee on the Bureau of State Audits' Reports on Compensation and Hiring Practices with a membership of Trustees Roberta Achtenberg (chair), Jeffrey Bleich, Debra Farar, William Hauck, Raymond Holdsworth and Lou Monville, Melinda Guzman and Henry Mendoza

### COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

### 2007-2008 Legislative Report No. 6 (RGR 11-07-08)

**RESOLVED,** By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the 2007-08 Legislative Report No. 6 is adopted.

\_\_\_\_\_

## February 2008 Ballot Items (RGR 11-07-09)

**WHEREAS,** Proposition 92 would enact the Community College Governance, Funding Stabilization, and Student Fee Reduction Act,

WHEREAS, during the past decade state support for higher education has decreased significantly, reducing the University of California's percentage of the state budget from 7.5% to less than 3%, while at the same time reducing CSU's budget by \$522 million, and failing to fund CSU's excess enrollment in 2006-07, which equates to a further reduction of more than \$80 million, and

**WHEREAS,** this initiative would leave the California State University, the University of California, Health and Human Services, and Corrections as the only areas of the budget where the state is able to make reductions, and

**WHEREAS**, this initiative would require reductions of nearly \$1 billion dollars over the next three years, and

**WHEREAS**, the existing available discretionary part of the state budget, which is now 8% of the budget would be reduced by at least 12.5 percent, and

**WHEREAS,** this initiative would set an unprecedented and nearly unreachable legislative vote requirement to make changes to most of this proposed law, making modifications almost impossible, now therefore be it

**RESOLVED,** By the Board of Trustees of the California State University that the Board opposes the Community College Initiative, Proposition 92, that will appear on the February 2008 Presidential primary ballot.

### COMMITTEE ON INSTITUTIONAL ADVANCEMENT

## Naming of an Academic Entity– California State University, Fresno (RIA 11-07-12)

**RESOLVED,** by the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the Center for Community Engagement and Service-Learning at California State University, Fresno be named as the Jan and Bud Richter Center for Community Engagement and Service-Learning.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Naming of a Facility – San Diego State University (RIA 11-07-13)

**RESOLVED,** By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the Alumni Center shall be named the Parma Payne Goodall Alumni Center at San Diego State University.

-----

## **Recognition for Support of San José State University** (RIA 11-07-14)

**RESOLVED,** by the Board of Trustees of the California State University that Donald Beall is hereby recognized and honored for his extraordinary vision and commended for his gift of \$4 million to San José State University.

#### COMMITTEE ON CAMPUS PLANNING, BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

## Amend the 2007-2008 Capital Outlay Program, Non-State Funded (RCPBG 11-07-20)

RESOLVED, By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the 2007/2008 non-state funded capital outlay program is amended to include: 1) \$142,779,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction and equipment for the California State University, Fullerton, Student Housing, Phase 3 and 4 project; 2) \$2,089,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction, and equipment for the Humboldt State University, Schatz Energy Research Center project; 3) \$4,162,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction and equipment for the San Diego State University, Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences project; 4) \$11,000,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction, and equipment for the San Diego State University, Alumni Center project; 5) \$4,180,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings and construction for the San Francisco State University, Children's Center project; 6) \$42,526,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction and equipment for the California State University, San Marcos, Parking Structure 1, Phase 1 and 2 project; and 7) \$10,555,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, construction and equipment for the California State University, San Marcos, Public Safety Building project.

\_\_\_\_\_

## Amend the 2007/2008 Capital Outlay Program, State Funded (RCPBG 11-07-21)

**RESOLVED**, By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the 2007/2008 state funded capital outlay program is amended to include: 1) \$2,448,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, and construction for the California Maritime Academy, Energy Infrastructure Improvements project; and 2) \$2,664,000 for preliminary plans, working drawings, and construction for the Sonoma State University, Energy Infrastructure Improvements project.

.....

## **Approval of Schematic Plans (RCPBG 11-07-22)**

1. California State University, Chico—Northern California Natural History Museum, Phase I

RESOLVED, by the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

1. The board finds that the Initial Study and the Mitigated Negative Declaration has been prepared for this project and filed with the State Clearinghouse on

- May 10, 2007, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 2. With adoption of the proposed mitigation measures, the proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, and the project will benefit the California State University.
- 3. The mitigation measures shall be monitored and reported in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21081.6).
- 4. The schematic plans for the California State University, Chico, Northern California Natural History Museum, Phase I are approved at a project cost of \$4,171,000 at CCCI 4328.

### 2. California State University, Fullerton—Children's Center

**RESOLVED**, By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

- 1. The board finds that the Categorical Exemption for the California State University, Fullerton, Children's Center, has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 2. The proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, and the project will benefit the California State University.
- 3. The schematic plans for the California State University, Fullerton, Children's Center are approved at a project cost of \$9,273,000 at CCCI 4633.

### 3. San Diego State University—Alumni Center

**RESOLVED**, By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

- 1. The board finds that the Categorical Exemption for the San Diego State University, Alumni Center, has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 2. The proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, and the project will benefit the California State University.
- 3. The schematic plans for the California State University, San Diego, Alumni Center are approved at a project cost of \$11,000,000 at CCCI 4890.

### 4. California State University, San Marcos—Public Safety Building

**RESOLVED**, By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

- 1. The board finds that the Categorical Exemption for the California State University, San Marcos, Public Safety Building, has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.
- 2. The proposed project will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment, and the project will benefit the California State University.
- 3. The schematic plans for the California State University, San Marcos, Public Safety Building are approved at a project cost of \$10,555,000 at CCCI 4890.

Certify the Final Environmental Impact Report and Approve the Campus Master Plan Revision with Enrollment Ceiling Increase at San Francisco State University (RCPBG 11-07-23)

**RESOLVED,** by the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

- 1. The Final EIR for the San Francisco State University Campus Master Plan has been prepared to address the potential significant environmental impacts, mitigation measures, and project alternatives, comments and response to comments associated with the proposed master plan revision, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, the CEQA Guidelines, and CSU CEQA procedures.
- 2. The Final EIR addresses the proposed increased enrollment and master plan revision, and all discretionary actions relating to the project, as identified in the Project Refinements, Section 2 of the Final EIR.
- 3. This resolution is adopted pursuant to the requirements of Section 21081 of the Public Resources Code and Section 15091 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CEQA Guidelines), which require that the Board of Trustees make findings prior to the approval of a project along with a statement of facts supporting each finding.
- 4. This board hereby adopts the Findings of Fact and related mitigation measures identified in the Mitigation Monitoring Program for Agenda Item 4 of the November 13-14, 2007 meeting of the Board of Trustees' Committee on Campus Planning, Buildings and Grounds, which identifies specific significant impacts of the proposed project and related mitigation measures, which are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 5. The board has adopted the Findings of Fact that include specific overriding considerations that outweigh certain remaining unavoidable significant impacts to historic resources, traffic, and to university population and nearby residents from construction noise.

- 6. The Final EIR has identified potentially significant and unavoidable impacts that may result from project implementation. However, the Board of Trustees, by adopting the Finding of Fact, finds that the inclusion of certain mitigation measures as part of the project approval will reduce most, but not all, of those effects to less than significant levels. Those impacts, which are not reduced to less than significant levels, are identified and overridden due to specific project benefits.
- 7. A portion of the mitigation measures necessary to reduce traffic impacts to less than significant are the responsibility of and under the authority of the City and County of San Francisco (City). While the City and university have come to agreement regarding off-site mitigation measures, the board cannot guarantee that certain mitigation measures that are the sole responsibility of the respective city will be timely implemented. The board therefore finds that certain impacts upon traffic may remain significant and unavoidable if mitigation measures are not implemented, and therefore adopts Findings of Fact that include specific Overriding Considerations that outweigh the remaining, potential, unavoidable significant impacts with respect to traffic conditions on streets and intersections not under the authority and responsibility of the board.
- 8. Prior to the certification of the Final EIR, the Board of Trustees has reviewed and considered the above-mentioned Final EIR, and finds that the Final EIR reflects the independent judgment of the Board of Trustees. The board hereby certifies the Final EIR for the proposed project as complete and adequate in that the Final EIR addresses all significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and fully complies with the requirements of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. For the purpose of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, the administrative record of proceedings for the project is comprised of the following:
  - A. The Draft EIR for San Francisco State University Campus Master Plan, February 2007;
  - B. The Final EIR, including comments received on the Draft EIR, and responses to comments;
  - C. The proceedings before the Board of Trustees relating to the subject project, including testimony and documentary evidence introduced at such proceedings; and
  - D. All attachments, documents incorporated, and references made in the documents as specified in items (a) through (c) above.
- 9. It is necessary, consistent with the California Supreme Court decision in *City of Marina*, for CSU to pursue mitigation funding from the legislature to meet its CEQA fair share mitigation obligations. The chancellor is therefore directed to request from the governor and the legislature, through the annual

- state budget process, the funds (\$2,000,000) necessary to support costs as determined by the trustees necessary to fulfill the mitigation requirements of the CEQA.
- 10. In the event the request for mitigation funds is approved in full, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the Master Plan Revision and Enrollment Ceiling Increase for San Francisco State University, November 2007. Should the request for funds only be partially approved, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the project, funding identified mitigation measures to the extent of the available funds. In the event the request for funds is not approved, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the project consistent with resolution number 11 below.
- 11. Because this board cannot guarantee that the request to the legislature for the necessary mitigation funding will be approved, or that the local agencies will fund the measures that are their responsibility, this board finds that the impacts whose funding is uncertain remain significant and unavoidable, and that they are necessarily outweighed by the Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by this board.
- 12. The board hereby certifies the Final EIR for the San Francisco State University Master Plan, dated August 2007 as complete and in compliance with CEQA.
- 13. The mitigation measures identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan are hereby adopted and incorporate any necessary agreements. These mitigation measures shall be monitored and reported in accordance with the Mitigation Monitoring Program for Agenda Item (4) of the November 13-14, 2007 meeting of the Board of Trustees' Committee on Campus Planning, Buildings and Grounds, which meets the requirements of CEQA (Public Resources Code, Section 21081.6).
- 14. The project will benefit the California State University.
- 15. The above information is on file with The California State University, Office of the Chancellor, Capital Planning, Design and Construction, 401 Golden Shore, Long Beach, California 90802-4210 and at San Francisco State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 84132.
- 16. The San Francisco State University Campus Master Plan Revision dated November 2007 is approved at a master plan enrollment ceiling of 25,000 FTE.
- 17. The chancellor or his designee is requested under the Delegation of Authority by the Board of Trustees to file the Notice of Determination for the project.

.....

## Certify the Final Environmental Impact Report and Approve Campus Master Plan Revision with Enrollment Ceiling Increase at San Diego State University (RCPBG 11-07-24)

**RESOLVED,** by the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that:

- The Final EIR for the San Diego State University, 2007 Campus Master Plan Revision has been prepared to address the potential significant environmental impacts, mitigation measures, project alternatives, and comments and responses to comments associated with the proposed master plan revision, pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, the CEQA Guidelines, and CSU CEQA procedures.
- The Final EIR addresses the proposed increased enrollment, master plan revision, and all discretionary actions relating to the project, including near term construction projects as identified in Project Description, Section 1.0 of the Final EIR.
- This resolution is adopted pursuant to the requirements of Section 21081 of the Public Resources Code and Section 15091 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CEQA Guidelines), which require that the Board of Trustees make findings prior to the approval of a project along with a statement of facts supporting each finding.
- This board hereby adopts the Findings of Fact and related mitigation measures identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for Agenda Item 5 of the November 13-14, 2007 meeting of the Board of Trustees' Committee on Campus Planning, Buildings and Grounds, which identifies specific impacts of the proposed project and related mitigation measures, which are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 5. The board has adopted the Findings of Fact that include specific overriding considerations that outweigh certain remaining unavoidable significant impacts to aesthetics and visual quality, air quality impacts, and transportation and circulation impacts.
- 6. The Final EIR has identified potentially significant effects that may result from project implementation. However, the Board of Trustees, by adopting the Findings of Fact, finds that the inclusion of certain mitigation measures as part of the project approval will reduce most, but not all, of those effects to less than significant levels. Those impacts, which are not reduced to less than significant levels, are identified and overridden due to specific project benefits.
- A portion of the mitigation measures necessary to reduce traffic impacts to less than significant are the responsibility of and under the authority of the City and County of San Diego (City). The City and the university have not

- come to agreement. The board therefore cannot guarantee that certain mitigation measures that are the sole responsibility of the City will be timely implemented. The board therefore finds that certain impacts upon traffic may remain significant and unavoidable if mitigation measures are not implemented, and adopts Findings of Fact that include specific Overriding Considerations that outweigh the remaining, potential, unavoidable significant impacts with respect to traffic and transit that are not under the authority and responsibility of the board.
- 8. Prior to the certification of the FEIR, the Board of Trustees has reviewed and considered the above-mentioned FEIR, and finds that the FEIR reflects the independent judgment of the Board of Trustees. The board hereby certifies the FEIR for the proposed project as complete and adequate in that the FEIR addresses all significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and fully complies with the requirements of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. For the purpose of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, the administrative record of proceedings for the project is comprised of the following:
  - a. The Draft EIR for the San Diego State University 2007 Campus Master Plan Revision;
  - b. The Final EIR, including comments received on the Draft EIR, and responses to comments;
  - c. The proceedings before the Board of Trustees relating to the subject project, including testimony and documentary evidence introduced at such proceedings; and
  - d. All attachments, documents incorporated, and references made in the documents as specified in items (a) through (c) above.
- 9. It is necessary, consistent with the California Supreme Court decision in *City of Marina*, for CSU to pursue mitigation funding from the legislature to meet its CEQA fair-share mitigation obligations. The chancellor is therefore directed to request from the governor and the legislature, through the annual state budget process, the future funds (\$6,484,000) necessary to support costs as determined by the trustees necessary to fulfill the mitigation requirements of CEQA.
- 10. In the event the request for mitigation funds is approved in full, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the 2007 Campus Master Plan Revision and Enrollment Ceiling Increase for San Diego State University. Should the request for funds only be partially approved, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the project, funding identified mitigation measures to the extent of the available funds. In the event the request for funds is not approved, the chancellor is directed to proceed with implementation of the project consistent with resolution number 11 below.
- 11. Because this board cannot guarantee that the request to the legislature for the necessary mitigation funding will be approved, or that the local agencies will

fund the measures that are their responsibility, this board finds that the impacts whose funding is uncertain remain significant and unavoidable, and that they are necessarily outweighed by the Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by this board.

- 12. The board hereby certifies the Final EIR for the San Diego State University 2007 Campus Master Plan Revision dated November 2007 as complete and in compliance with CEQA.
- 13. The mitigation measures identified in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program are hereby adopted and shall be monitored and reported in accordance with the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for Agenda Item 5 of the November 13-14, 2007 meeting of the Board of Trustees' Committee on Campus Planning, Buildings and Grounds, which meets the requirements of CEQA (Public Resources Code, Section 21081.6).
- 14. The project will benefit the California State University.
- 15. The above information is on file with The California State University, Office of the Chancellor, Capital Planning, Design and Construction, 401 Golden Shore, Long Beach, California 90802-4210 and at San Diego State University, Facilities Planning, Design and Construction, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, California 92182-1624.
- 16. The San Diego State University, Campus Master Plan Revision dated November 2007 is approved at a master plan enrollment ceiling of 35,000 FTE.
- 17. The chancellor or his designee is requested under the Delegation of Authority by the Board of Trustees to file the Notice of Determination for the project.
- 18. The five designated near term projects identified and described in the FEIR are: (1) Adobe Falls Housing Phase I (Upper Village); (2) Alvarado Campus (#104-106) buildings; (3) Alvarado Hotel; (4) Residential Life Administration and Residence Buildings; and (5) the Aztec Center Expansion and Renovation projects are determined to be fully analyzed at the project level in the FEIR for the purposes of compliance with CEQA for future implementation and construction.

#### **COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

## Approval of the 2008-2009 Support Budget Request (RFIN 11-07-19)

**RESOLVED,** By the Board of Trustees of the California State University that the 2008-09 Support Budget is approved as submitted by the chancellor; and be it further

**RESOLVED,** That the chancellor is authorized to adjust and amend this budget to reflect changes in the assumptions upon which this budget is based, and that such changes made by the chancellor be communicated promptly to the trustees; and be it further

**RESOLVED,** That the chancellor is authorized to comply with requests of the Department of Finance and the legislature regarding establishment of priorities within this budget; and be it further

**RESOLVED,** That student fees for 2008-09 be adopted not later than the March 2008 Board of Trustees meeting and be it further

**RESOLVED,** That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the California Postsecondary Education Commission, to the governor, to the director of finance and to the legislature.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

### **2008-2009** Lottery Revenue Budget (RFIN 11-07-20)

**RESOLVED,** By the Board of Trustees of the California State University, that the 2008-09 Lottery Revenue budget totaling \$44.0 million be approved for implementation by the chancellor, with the authorization to make transfers between components of the Lottery Revenue budget and to phase expenditures in accordance with receipt of lottery funds; and be it further

**RESOLVED,** that the chancellor is hereby granted authority to adjust the 2008-09 Lottery Revenue budget approved by the Board of Trustees to the extent that receipts are greater or lesser than budgeted revenue to respond to opportunities or exigencies; and be it further

**RESOLVED,** That a report of the 2008-09 Lottery Revenue budget receipts and expenditures be made to the Board of Trustees.

.....

# Approval to Issue Trustees of the California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds and Related Debt Instruments for Various Projects (RFIN 11-07-21)

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, as bond counsel, prepared resolutions as described in Item 5 of the Committee on Finance at the November 13-14, 2007 meeting of the CSU Board of Trustees that authorize interim and permanent financing for projects at CSU, Long Beach (Long Beach Parking Structure 3); CSU, Northridge, (Northridge Parking Structure G-3); and CSU, Fullerton, Fullerton Auxiliary Services Corporation—University Police Building Project. The proposed resolutions will be distributed at the meeting and will achieve the following:

- 1. Authorize the sale and issuance of Systemwide Revenue Bond Anticipation Notes and the related sale and issuance of the Trustees of the California State University Systemwide Revenue Bonds in an amount not-to-exceed \$52,850,000, and certain actions relating thereto.
- 2. Provide a delegation to the Chancellor; the Executive Vice Chancellor and Chief Financial Officer; the Assistant Vice Chancellor, Financial Services; and the Director, Financing and Treasury; and their designees to take any and all necessary actions to execute documents for the sale and issuance of the bond anticipation notes and the revenue bonds.

The resolutions will be implemented subject to receipt of good bids consistent with the projects' financing budgets.