

EO 1100 and Mathematics/Quantitative Reasoning Policy 2016-17 Consultation Timeline

For more information, see the Policy Q&A website at
<http://www.calstate.edu/app/policywebcast/index.shtml>

What resulted in a revision to Executive Order (EO) 1100, began as discussions about general education requirements and how well they supported student achievement of systemwide academic goals. As the Chancellor's Office and Academic Senate CSU (ASCSU) began discussing CSU General Education (GE) Breadth requirements in spring and summer 2016, the ASCSU *Quantitative Reasoning Task Force Report* was issued, and in fall was endorsed by the senate. The report recommended changes to GE policy that required modification of EO 1100 General Education Breadth Requirements.

- | | |
|------------|--|
| 3/29/16 | Introduced by Assembly Member Holden, Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 158 <i>Relative to Public Postsecondary Education</i> encourages all three higher education segments to expedite efforts to streamline the transfer process and ensure that all general education courses can transfer seamlessly from campus-to-campus and among all three systems. |
| 8/12/16 | AVC Mallon writes t the Office of Advocacy and State Relations, providing an overview of system GE transfer and articulation policies, addressing the concerns of Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 158 <i>Relative to Public Postsecondary Education</i> . ASCSU Chair Miller is copied, and Chair Miller and AVC Mallon discuss the ACR 158 hearing and the Chancellor's Office written response. Additionally, the issue was discussed in the Chancellor's General Education Committee (GEAC), which is chaired by an academic senator and has academic senators among its membership. |
| 8/16/16 | EVC Blanchard issues survey of campus GE requirements, responding to Chancellor White's request for a report of campus GE requirements. |
| 8/28/17 | ASCSU Planning Retreat: ASA Division discusses with senate the external pressures to examine GE policy. Results of the campus GE surveys are promised (and later delivered) to ASCSU chair. |
| 9/13/16 | In response to GEAC members discussing draft Title 5 language for quantitative reasoning, AVC Chris Mallon advises GEAC members that EO 1100 will be revised to include modified language for the GE Breadth Area B4 mathematics/quantitative reasoning requirement, and specifies that Title 5 will not be amended to include a new Area B4 definition. |
| 9/14/16 | Campus GE requirements survey responses due. |
| 9/14-15/16 | AS-3264-16/EX, Approved Unanimously, <i>ASCSU Accepts QRTF Report and recommendations</i> , including the changes to GE Area B4, Mathematics and |

CSU Campuses
Bakersfield
Channel Islands
Chico
Dominguez Hills
East Bay

Fresno
Fullerton
Humboldt
Long Beach
Los Angeles
Maritime Academy

Monterey Bay
Northridge
Pomona
Sacramento
San Bernardino
San Diego

San Francisco
San José
San Luis Obispo
San Marcos
Sonoma
Stanislaus

Quantitative Reasoning. Adopting changes related to the task force recommendations will require amending EO 1100.

AS-3265-16/APEP, Approved, *Implementation of Quantitative Reasoning Task Force (QRTF) Recommendations That Reflect Items Previously Approved by the Academic Senate CSU*

9/23/16-3/17/16

CO AVCs and ASCSU consult with multiple groups* regarding QRTF recommendations.

11/1/16

AVC Chris Mallon and State University Associate Dean Alison Wrynn met with GEAC, requesting GEAC help in clarifying GE requirements and providing campus templates or examples of best practice; specifying that upper-division GE fits within Areas B, C and D; and identifying benefits of GE Breadth requirements through use of program review and assessment evidence. Some GEAC members opposed GEAC conducting this work, asserting that it should be addressed by the GE Task Force. GEAC did not accept the invitation to be involved in this work. As noted in GEAC minutes:

“The ASCSU GE Task Force is there to address some of these issues. The distinction being a senate-owned committee (ASCSU) vs. the targeted expertise and ease of access to stakeholders with a GEAC-centered effort.”

<http://www.calstate.edu/app/GEAC/documents/2016/GEAC-Minutes-Draft-Nov-2016.pdf>

11-17-16

Following ASCSU endorsement of the QRTF report and recommendations, EVC Blanchard requests systemwide feedback on QRTF recommendations by 2/6/17. ASCSU is included but provides no written response. Also included in the distribution list are the California State Student Association and Campus Presidents of CSU Associated Students.

1/24/17

GEAC discusses change to Area B4 definition. During the year, Steven Filling, Kate Stevenson and GEAC Vice Chair Mark Van Selst led the discussion and drafting efforts. AVC Mallon invites GEAC to begin proposed language for a revised EO 1100, in response to the QRTF recommendations. She reminds GEAC that Area B4 is not defined in Title 5. Chair Miller was present.

3/7/17

Following an analysis of campus feedback and review of the senate resolution endorsing the task force report, an EVC Blanchard letter is sent to Chair Miller, advising of Chancellor's Office policy decisions resulting from QRTF recommendations. This includes changes to GE Breadth Area B4 mathematics/quantitative reasoning requirements and the use of multiple measures of demonstrating college readiness; use of co-requisite and other learner-supportive models; and requiring the completion of GE mathematics/quantitative reasoning in the first year of enrollment.

3/10/17

[EVC Blanchard memorandum](#) issued to presidents requesting feedback on how EO 1100 can be revised to: (1) improve clarity, (2) ensure equity, and (3) streamline graduation requirements—in response to criticism regarding these areas, from Governor Brown, Assembly Member Chris Holden, the

Department of Finance, and trustees. The memo asked campuses for feedback from faculty, students and administrators. The invitation distribution list included the ASCSU, California State Student Association, Presidents of California State Student University Associated Students, and others. [An EO 1100 feedback survey form](#) was attached.

3/14/17

GEAC further discussed changing the Area B4 definition.

3/15/17

Originally, EO 1100 survey feedback was requested to be submitted by 5/12/17. AVC Leo Van Cleve met with Senate Executive Committee. EO 1100 consultation memo and timing were discussed. In response to the committee's request, the deadline was extended to 6/16/17 in order to give campuses more time to consider the issues. Administration agreed to the ASCSU request to convene a five-member work group to review the draft EO 1100, following receipt of campus feedback in June. Work group members were to be paid \$500 each.

EVC Blanchard met with the Executive Committee, and his [letter of March 15, 2017](#) addressed senate concerns, confirmed the agreed-upon consultation feedback deadline, and confirmed the agreed-upon consultation process and timing. EVC Blanchard's letter responding to Chair Miller included:

"I want to assure you that we will share the information gathered through this process with the ASCSU and the GE Task Force, to inform their work. We will also allocate funding for stipends to allow faculty to work with us during summer 2017.

Finally, we appreciate that the original timeline to achieve the review of EO 1100 may pose challenges and will extend the deadline to June 16, 2017, the end of the spring quarter. This extension will allow additional time for campus consultation while also ensuring that we can make progress in resolving policy-related impediments to student access, equity, progress and graduation."

March 2017

ASCSU Chair's Report
[January to March Plenary 2017](#)

ASCSU Chair Miller wrote:

"In the meantime, on March 10, 2017, EVC Blanchard sent a letter to presidents asking campuses to provide feedback on potential revisions to EO 1100 governing general education in the system. Dr. Blanchard's letter and call for feedback raised several questions about how this effort relates to the charge of the GE Task Force. These questions are being engaged in discussions between ASCSU leadership and Chancellor's Office leadership. More information will be forthcoming as events unfold."

"On March 7, EVC Blanchard sent me a letter articulating the direction being pursued by the Chancellor's Office regarding the recommendations of the Quantitative Reasoning Task Force. The pieces are beginning to fall into place, as that letter discusses, and groups such as GEAC are offering their expert analysis of how to make the words on the pages of the Task Force report come alive as student experiences."

- 5/16/17 Final 2016-17 GEAC meeting. “Conceptual Draft” for GE Breadth Area B4 mathematics/quantitative reasoning language for EO 1100. Chair Miller was present. GEAC Chair Mary Ann Creadon suggested that EO 1100 discussions were not needed for the GEAC agenda because the draft revised EO would be discussed by GEAC’s ASCSU members in other ASCSU committees.
- 5/17/17 ASCSU Academic Affairs Committee discussed Senator Filling and Dr. Kate Stevenson’s “Conceptual Draft” notes for EO 1100 definition of Area B4. AA Committee offered feedback on the language but did not offer feedback on the request for input on revising EO 1100. Some members refused to discuss the EO, saying sufficient time was not given for consideration and consultation. AVC Mallon replied that the committee’s comments would be reported back but not considered the formal response. She invited the committee to provide a written response, but none was received.
- 5/18-19/17 Final 2016-17 ASCSU Plenary
AS-3291-17/APEP (Rev) Incorporating the Quantitative Reasoning Task Force (QRTF) Recommendations in Revising Executive Order (EO) 1100, postponed indefinitely
- 6/16/17 Systemwide EO 1100 feedback due. No formal feedback was received from ACSCU committees or plenary. Feedback was received by all but one campus, which chose not to respond. Faculty were involved in responses from 20 of the 22 campuses responding. Nine campuses indicated that their feedback incorporated responses from all three constituencies (faculty, students and administration). Of the 13 campuses that did not give “all-campus” feedback, six response forms were submitted by faculty and administrators; four from faculty only; two from administrators only; and one was from faculty and students.
- 7/14/17 In a conference call between Chair Miller, AVC Mallon and AVC Leo Van Cleve, arrangements for final EO 1100 were discussed. AVC Mallon requested names and contact information for the senate’s work group. Chair Miller asked that the executive committee also serve as a work group. AVC Mallon agreed to having this extension of the plans previously agreed to in March.
- 7/17/17 Per the request of Chair Miller in mid-July, the EO 1100-Revised draft was shared with the ASCSU Executive Committee, and committee members gave their input to Chancellor’s Office administrators via a Zoom web conference. Some input was included in the developing draft. Some senate suggestions were not included because they were in contradiction to the goals of (1) creating greater clarity; (2) ensuring equitable opportunities for student achievement; and (3) facilitating degree completion.

- 7/20/17 ASCSU Chair's Report
[May Plenary to July 20, 2017](#)
ASCSU Chair Miller wrote:
"The Executive Committee met with AVC Mallon to discuss pending changes to Executive Order (EO) 1100. We were told that a new version of the EO would be published by August 14, 2017. Prior to that, campus representatives as well as ASCSU would be queried regarding the changes. The Executive Committee met with AVCs Mallon and Van Cleve to share feedback on the most current version of the EO. In addition, we shared our feedback with the current and former Chairs and Vice Chairs of the Academic Affairs Committee and the General Education Advisory Committee, Senators Ullman, Schlievert, [sic] Creadon, Van Selst, and Baaske. Along with me, they are slated to meet (virtually) with AVCs Mallon and Van Cleve next week.
Following on discussions with ASCSU committees and GEAC last year, these meetings are consistent with the memo in March from EVC Blanchard which stipulates that senators will be involved this summer in providing feedback on revisions to EO 1100 prior to its release, scheduled before campuses return for fall semester/quarter operation. This timing gives campuses the maximum amount of time to make any changes necessary to their GE programs prior to Fall 2018."
- 7/26/17 Per the senate's request in March, the EO 1100-Revised draft was discussed via a zoom conference that included Chair Miller and ASCSU EO 1100 review work group members: Jodie Ullman, Kevin Baaske, Susan Schlievert, Mark Van Selst, and Mary Ann Creadon. Chancellor's Office participants were AVC Christine Mallon, AVC Leo Van Cleve and State University Associate Dean Alison Wrynn. Some senate suggestions were not included because they were in contradiction to the goals of (1) creating greater clarity; (2) ensuring equitable opportunities for student achievement; and (3) facilitating degree completion. Each work group member (excluding Chair Miller, who served as an observer) was paid \$500 for the review and Zoom conference.
- 8/4/17 Draft EO 1100 Revised--feedback due from presidents.
- 8/7/17 Draft EO 1100 Revised—feedback due from provosts.
- 8/7 through 8/22/17 Input from all constituents was analyzed, and the draft executive order was finalized and reviewed by the Vice Chancellors, General Counsel and Chancellor.
- 8/23/17 Executive Order 1100-Revised was signed by Chancellor White and posted by the end of the day.

***CO and ASCSU QRTF Consultation Schedule Beyond ASCSU
9/23/16 through 3/17/17**

CO Staff Member: Caro Cardenas

Constituent Group	Planned Consultation Venue and Date
Test Officers	Test Officer Meeting (11/
Directors of Outreach and Recruitment	DOR Retreat (12/5)
ELM Faculty Committee	ELM Meeting (12/10) San Diego
EAP Coordinators	EAP Meeting (1/23/17) Bakersfield
Math Council	TBD
Admissions Advisory Council	LA Crowne Plaza (12/8) Eric/Caro

CO Staff Member Eric Forbes

Constituent Group	Planned Consultation Venue and Date
DARS	April Grommo
UC BOARS	
Admissions Advisory Council	LA Crowne Plaza (12/8) Eric/Caro
ICC	
VPs of Student Affairs	Ray <u>Murrillo</u> will be responsible

CO Staff Member Marquita Grenot-Scheyer

Constituent Group	Planned Consultation Venue and Date
CORE Districts Superintendents	Presentation to their regular meeting 2/10/17 (tentative)
CA Department of Education	Need to set up this spring
Deans of Education	(3/16-17/17)
Recipients of CA Math Readiness Challenge Grants (4 campuses)	Planning a spring meeting
CAPP	Consulted at their fall meeting
CORE Districts Superintendents	Presentation to their regular meeting 2/10/17 (tentative)

CO Staff Member Chris Mallon

Constituent Group	Planned Consultation Venue and Date
Provosts	Academic Council Meeting (11/29/16)
Presidents	Council of Presidents Meeting (12/6/16)
Academic AVPs	Web-Assisted Conference (12/12/16)
ICAS (intersegmental senates)	ICAS Meeting (9/23/16)

ASCSU Consultation with ICAS

From ASCSU:

ICAS received the report at its September 23 meeting. It was clarified that receiving the report is not considered acceptance but instead is intended as an informal receipt of report to allow for the segments to discuss the report. By consensus, each segment received the Quantitative Reasoning Task Force report and agreed to share with their respective segments for input and feedback at the December ICAS meeting.