

401 Golden Shore, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802-4210

CSU Legislative Reports Website
https://www.calstate.edu/legislativereports/

Steve Relyea
Executive Vice Chancellor
and Chief Financial Officer

562-951-4600 <u>srelyea@calstate.edu</u>

September 17, 2024

Scott Wiener Joint Legislative Budget Committee 1021 O Street, Suite 8620 Sacramento, CA 95814

Joe Stephenshaw Director, Department of Finance 1021 O Street, Suite 3110 Sacramento, CA 95814

Cara L. Jenkins Legislative Counsel 1021 O Street, Suite 3210 Sacramento, CA 95814 Gabriel Petek Legislative Analyst Office 925 L Street, #1000 Sacramento, CA 95814

Erika Contreras Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 307 Sacramento, CA 95814

Sue Parker Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 319 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: CSU 2025-2026 Capital Outlay Program Preliminary Report

Enclosed is an electronic copy of the California State University (CSU) Preliminary 2025-2026 Capital Outlay Program report submitted in conformance with Education Code (EDC) section 89772 (a)(1).

A final report of the 2025-2026 Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan as approved by the CSU Board of Trustees at their September 2024 meeting will be provided by December 1, 2024.

Funding for these projects will be structured so that no more than 12 percent of the CSU's General Fund support appropriation, less the amount of the appropriation that is required to fund general obligation bond payments and State Public Works Board rental payments, will be used for debt service and pay-as-you-go capital outlay projects.

Thank you for your continued support. Should you have any questions about this report, please contact Nathan Dietrich, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Advocacy and State Relations at (916) 445-5983.



CSU Report: CSU 2025-2026 Capital Outlay Program Preliminary Report

September 17, 2024

Page 2

Sincerely,



Steve Relyea
Executive Vice Chancellor and
Chief Financial Officer

SR:JEA:mc

Full report posted to:

https://www.calstate.edu/impact-of-the-csu/government/Advocacy-and-State-Relations/Pages/legislative-reports.aspx

Members, California State Legislature
 Members, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
 Natalie Gonzalez, Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst Office
 Alexandra Wildman, Finance Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
 Dilcie Perez, Deputy Vice Chancellor, Academic and Student Affairs
 Greg Saks, Vice Chancellor, External Relations and Communications
 Nathan Dietrich, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Advocacy and State Relations
 Ryan Storm, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Budget Planning and Advocacy
 Jeni Kitchell, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Finance and Budget Administration/Controller
 Paul Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Capital Planning, Design and Construction
 Jack E. Andersen, Chief, Planning and Design

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	Unit	Department	Priority No.			
2025-2026	6610		California State University		lick or tap here to enter tex		
Budget Request Name	<u>'</u>	Capital Outlay Pr	ogram ID	Capital C	Outlay Proje	ct ID	
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	nter text.	Click or tap	here to enter	text.	
Project Title				•			
Dominguez Hills – Natural	Science	s and Mathemat	ics Building Renov	ation (Se	ismic)		
Project Status and Type							
Status: \square New \boxtimes Cont	inuing		Type: ⊠Major	\square Minor			
Project Category (Select on	e)						
□CRI	□WSD		□ECP		⊠SM		
(Critical Infrastructure)		Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	Population)	(Seismic)		
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)	□FM (Egcility M	odernization)	□PAR (Public Access Recrea	ation)	□RC (Resource	Conservation)	
Total Request (in thousands		Phase(s) to be Fu				thousands)	
\$ 90,634	,	CE		\$ 97,380	(,	
Budget Request Summary		01				_	
facilities for the department facility. The building is classi and non-recurring renewal Equivalent (FTE) (192 FTE in Isspace). Of the total project funded from prior Systemwin Requires Legislation	fied as Sei need of \$ ecture ca cost, \$90, de Reven	smic Performance 38.3 million. The pro pacity space and 634,000 is requeste ue Bonds for prelim	Rating Level VI and oject will provide a 6 FTE in lower division ed in Systemwide Ro	d currently net increc on teachir evenue Bo orking drav	has a 10-yearse of 198 Funds and \$6 vings.	ear recurring ull-time ry capacity	
☐ Yes ☐ No	Click or ta	p here to enter text.			10461		
Requires Provisional Langua ☐ Yes ☐ No	ige		Budget Package □ Needed □	Status Not Need	ed □ Exis	sting	
Impact on Support Budget							
One-Time Costs	⊠ No		Swing Space Nee		□ Yes	⊠ No	
Future Savings ☐ Yes Future Costs ☒ Yes	⊠ No □ No		Generate Surplus	riopeny	□ Yes	⊠ No	
			 				
If proposal affects another of Attach comments of affects	-	- · · ·				□ No esignee.	
Prepared By H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 21, 2024 08:34 PDT)	Date 8/30/202	24	Reviewed By J. Andersen	(Aug 21, 2024 10:22 PDT)	Date 8/30/2024		
P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 17:10 PDT)	Date 8/30/202	24	Agency Secretary P. Gannoe		Date 8/30/2024		
		Department of F	inance Use Only				
Principal Program Budget A Click or tap here to enter text.	nalyst		Date submitted to Click or tap to enter c		ature		

A. COBCP Abstract:

Dominguez Hills – Natural Sciences and Mathematics Building Renovation (Seismic) – \$90,634,000 for Construction. This project will renovate the existing 51,300 ASF/85,500 GSF Natural Sciences and Mathematics (#50) building, which was constructed in 1974, as a subsequent phase to the construction of the new Center for Science and Innovation science building. Recapturing unused space and additions will yield a 61,249 ASF/90,112 GSF facility.

Total project costs are estimated at \$97,380,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$3,500,000), Working Drawings (\$3,246,000), and Construction (\$90,634,000). The construction amount includes \$74,154,000 for the construction contract, \$1,639,000 for contingency, \$8,341,000 for other project costs, and \$6,500,000 for Group 2 Equipment.

The current project schedule outlines Preliminary Plans, which began in July 2024 and estimated to be completed in February 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in February 2026 and will be approved in September 2027. Construction is scheduled to begin in March 2028 and will be completed in August 2029.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

With the completion of the new Center for Science and Innovation building in fall 2020, the chemistry, biochemistry and biology wet laboratories and faculty have vacated a large portion of the existing Natural Sciences and Mathematics building. The wet labs have built-in case work and are not suitable for reuse or repurposing in their current condition. The proposed project will allow the campus to address the seismic deficiencies and consolidate most of the departments of the College of Natural and Behavioral Sciences – except psychology which remains in the Social and Behavioral Sciences (SBS) building. It will also allow for conversion of old fume hood wet labs to classrooms, dry teaching labs, and much needed space for the growing Computer Science department. The renovation will also address the current Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system of 100% exchange air, and replace lighting with light-emitting diode (LED), saving energy costs.

The facilities condition report by ISES indicates a 10-year recurring and nonrecurring renewal need for NSM of \$38.3 million. Mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems are the major drivers of this renewal need.

The building is also at risk in a seismic event. It is classified as a Category 2 in the CSU system of seismic risk categories. This repair was not identified in the ISES report, but by a separate seismic evaluation by Inertia Engineers, as part of a feasibility study done in 2015. The study determined a seismic rating of level VI out of seven levels, and that 1) some of the shear walls are not continuous to the foundation; and 2) the building has changes in the horizontal dimension of the lateral force resisting system of more than 30% in a story relative to adjacent stories; and 3) the seismic in-plane shear demands greatly exceed the capacity of the existing shear walls.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

Two of the goals outlined in CSU Dominguez Hills' (CSUDH) Strategic Plan (2014-2020) relate directly to the Natural Sciences and Mathematics renovation project: Goal 1. Outstanding Academic Programs and Goal 3. Innovative Learning Environment.

Outstanding Academic Programs: The renovated NSM building, combined with the new Center for Science and Innovation, will support CSUDH's ability to attract and retain the best and brightest faculty and students in the sciences.

Innovative Learning Environment: The proposed project is a direct result of the implementation of Objective A of the Innovative Learning Environment goal, which outlines the importance and roadmap for planning the renovation and construction of effective classrooms, labs, and other

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

learning spaces. It also supports the goal of enabling student access to co-curricular activities with the adjacencies to the new Center for Science and Innovation.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1: Take no action on the NSM building. This alternative would leave a valuable campus asset underutilized and not address seismic risk, The Americans with Disability Act (ADA), and other code compliance and deferred maintenance issues in the building.

Alternative 2: Fully Renovate the partially unoccupied NSM building now that the new Science and Innovation building is complete. Several science departments are relocating to the new Science and Innovation Building making it possible to renovate NSM in a multi-phased approach. This project will not only address NSM's seismic retrofit requirements and deferred maintenance backlog, but it will also provide much needed academic growth space for multi-disciplinary programs on campus.

Alternative 3: Demolish the NSM building. This alternative would deplete much needed campus capacity for classrooms and teaching labs and leave campus with a significant space deficit. It would also be a loss of a significant piece of architecture by A. Quincy Jones within the core of the campus and be a less sustainable path forward on the building. Finally, the main campus utility corridor runs underneath the NSM building, so demolition would risk damaging that corridor.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

The preferred alternative is to renovate the NSM building using the Science and Innovation space for surge (Alternative 2). A renovated NSM will allow for capacity needs, while also addressing seismic deficiencies. The location of NSM makes it a critical component of the academic core, which needs to continue to support student success. The basement of the NSM building contains the Utility Tunnel Corridor for the entire campus, running east to west and up north, all from the adjacent central plant. The renovation alternative will allow the basement, which covers the keystone of the campus's utility system, to remain intact. In addition, demolition of the building is not recommended as it represents the work of notable mid-century architect, A. Quincy Jones, who master planned the campus at its inception in 1965.

2. Detailed scope description.

This project will improve building efficiency, address seismic deficiencies, and include much needed renovations in the existing 51,300 ASF/85,500 GSF NSM building (#50), which was constructed in 1974. This project will renovate general use classrooms, dry instructional laboratories, and support facilities for the disciplines of Physics, Earth Sciences, Anthropology, Computer Sciences and Mathematics in the College of Natural and Behavioral Sciences (NBS). Recapturing unused space and additions will yield 61,249 ASF/90,112 GSF facility.

The existing building, NSM, is an integral part of the campus. It shares a common plaza with the new Science and Innovation building, which was master planned to form a Science Court with NSM, with faculty and students moving between the two buildings and their respective instructional and collaborative spaces. The project will provide space for the Dean of the College of Natural and Behavioral Sciences, presently located at some distance on the fifth floor of the Cain Library. The Dean's offices and advising for students will become more readily accessible and a part of the Sciences labs and offices. The project will also provide space for discipline-specific computer labs for the growing department of computer science and computer technology. The renovated building will accommodate an additional 198 FTE (192 in lecture capacity and 6 FTE in lower division teaching laboratory capacity).

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The project will also relocate the Anthropology department, which is presently located in inadequate space in the Social and Behavioral Sciences (SBS) building, to have better space for faculty and researchers and provide lab support space for anthropological artifacts. The project will replace the 1970's era observatory and build a modest planetarium addition. Although the psychology faculty will remain in the SBS building, the renovation will provide a vivarium to support faculty and graduate psychology research. The vacancy created within SBS will accommodate recent growth in the psychology department. Most importantly, the project will convert old fume hood lab space to classrooms and computer labs. The co-location of departments will yield efficiencies, students and faculty will be able to work together in close proximity, furthering collaboration and helping to improve the hard science education of the students.

The project addresses the large deferred maintenance backlog in the building systems, primarily mechanical, electrical, and plumbing. The renovation will also address the current HVAC system which provides for energy-intensive 100% make-up air, which will not be necessary after the renovations, and replace lighting with LED, saving energy costs.

3. Basis for cost information.

The basis of the cost estimate is from estimates compiled in 2021 by BNBuilders using previous project schematic designs recommendations from the 2019 Seismic evaluation.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

The recommended solution is the less expensive and most sustainable option. This project will eliminate \$38.3 million of renewal costs for the NSM building and address the seismic deficiencies of the building. This project will also greatly improve the building's energy efficiency.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

There is no increase in support budget as this is an existing building, however with a small increase in assignable square footage the CSU may request a corresponding increase in custodial funding.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

The project will include typical construction project risks such as fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural or manmade disaster.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect Plan Check Firm, CSU Seismic Review Board, other CSU required plans review.

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

The Natural Science and Mathematics Building is a 51,300 ASF/85,500 GSF building designed by the notable mid-century architect, A. Quincy Jones, who master planned the campus at its inception in 1965. It is a cherished legacy of the California State University, Dominguez Hills, along with the iconic Cain Library and other buildings designed by the same architect. The building is at the heart of the campus, across from the Cain Library, the Student Union, and the Behavioral and Social Sciences, all built in the early 1970s.

The building has the distinctive waffle slab floors of other A. Quincy Jones buildings and keeps to the same vernacular. The waffle slab floors shade the walkways which surround the building on all sides and connect to the main pedestrian walkways and bridges. On the lower floor, the building opens onto green courtyards and sloped green berms.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

This project proposes to strengthen the building structure and address its deficiencies in a seismic event and renovate the building to serve the campus's pressing needs for classroom space and modern science instructional space. This project proposes to address its seismic deficiencies and deferred maintenance and code compliance issues, as well as to provide consolidated space for the departments of the College of Natural and Behavioral Sciences and build a modest addition for a planetarium. Following the seismic retrofit, the building is expected to achieve a seismic performance rating of IV. Renovating NSM is the most programmatically beneficial approach to addressing the critical need for general use classrooms, Science instructional space, and faculty office deficits.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

This project preserves, repairs, and re-uses the building; therefore, it reduces waste at the source. It does not contribute to the environmental impact of the demolition of an 85,000 GSF, three-story reinforced concrete building, by choosing the option of repair and re-use; this option offsets the environmental impact associated with the extraction and consumption of virgin resources and production of new materials; it conserves landfill space. It preserves a precious legacy of mid-century modern architectural style in Southern California.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

This project supports efficient use of land by providing re-usable facilities for science as part of the Science Court, it frames both the north-south pedestrian walkways as well as the west to east walkways to student housing and to the student union; this project will allow for the consolidation of NBS departments and provide space for modest growth.

DH-NSM Renovation CPDC 2-7 hl mc JEA PG

CPDC Proj No: DH-01107 THE CALIFORNIA STATE UN Project Type: MAJOR CAPITAL OUTLAY ESTIMATE (F								Date: 0	
Project Ty	DE: MIAJOR		Project Schedule	Budget Year: 2025/26 CCCI: 10461					
			Project Started	(② Jul-24	ation		EPI: 5	
Campus:	CSU DOMINGUEZ HILLS	5	Schematics Approv	ral (BOT)	Dec-25	530		_	
Project:	Natural Sciences & Mathematics Building Renovation (Seismic)	F	reliminary Plans C	completed @		60		New Const	Reno
	-			Completed		570	Net Area	2,948	58,301
Arch/Engr:				d (NTP)(180	Gross Area	3,241	86,871
Contractor				leted (NOC)(<u> </u>	540 1880	Efficiency:	90.96%	67.11%
Delivery Ty Phase:	SCHEMATIC	'	NEW CONST	on (Calendar Days)	•	OVAT	ION	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
BUILE		ST	ATE-Planetarium	CAMPUS	STATE	OVAI	CAMPUS	TOTAL	φ/5 q. π.
A10	Foundations		210,638		733,790				
A20	Basement Construction.					-			
A	SUBSTRUCTURE		211,000	\$ 0	\$ 734,000	•	0	\$ 945,000	\$10.49
B10	Superstructure(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)		803,816	<u> </u>	3,558,410	Ψ.		Ψ <u> 343,000</u>	Ψ10.43
B20	Exterior Enclosure	_	989,186		7,135,779	-			
B30	Roofing	_	235,537		737,077	-			
B30	SHELL		2,029,000	\$ 0	\$ 11,431,000	•	0	\$ 13,460,000	\$149.37
	Interior Construction		163,422	<u> </u>	5,467,409	Ψ-		¥ 13,400,000	ψ140.01
C20	Stairways	_	100,422		317,397	-			
	Interior Finishes.	_	392,288		4,997,424	-			
C	INTERIORS		556,000	\$ 0	\$ 10,782,000	\$	0	\$ 11,338,000	\$125.82
D10	Conveying Systems.	_			558,673	-			
D20	Plumbing Systems	\$	49,977		2,124,116	-			
D30	HVAC Systems	\$	391,981		9,866,153	-			
D40	Fire Protection Systems	\$	107,116		1,486,155				
D50	Electrical Systems	\$	346,626		8,404,556				
	Telecom	· -				-			
D	BUILDING SERVICES	\$_	896,000	\$0	\$ 22,440,000	\$	0	\$ 23,336,000	\$258.97
E10	Group I Equipment	_	12,698		591,558	_			
	Furnishings (i.e.Group I casework)		112,038		2,104,154	_		_	
E	EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS	_	125,000	\$0	\$ 2,696,000	\$_	0	\$ 2,821,000	\$31.31
F10	Special Construction	_	2,518,450			_			
F20	Selective Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)	_			2,722,549	-			
	Hazardous Material Removal				1,045,623	-			
F50	Sustainable Building Measures	_							
F	SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION	_	2,518,000	\$0	\$ 3,768,000	\$_	0	\$ 6,286,000	\$69.76
F60	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - Building		160,919		1,199,581			1,361,000	\$15.10
1. TOTA G1020	L BUILDING		6,496,000	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ 53,051,000	۰,		\$ 59,547,000	\$660.81
G3040		_	144,668		2,420,156	-	0	N	#0.050
G2050		_			1,808,477	-		New Const	\$2,258
G50	Landscape Budget	_			1,227,698	-		Reno	\$688
G90	Other Site Construction	\$_				-			
G100	General Requirements - Sitework	· -				-			
	L SITEWORK	_	145,000	s 0	\$ 5,456,000	\$	0	\$ 5,601,000	\$62.16
	OTAL: BUILDING and SITEWORK	_		\$ 0	\$ 58,507,000	\$		\$ 65,148,000	Ψ02.10
	ation to midpoint of Construction.			\$ 0	\$ 2,441,000	\$		\$ 2,718,000	
	OTAL: BUILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION	_			\$ 60,948,000	\$		\$ 67,866,000	\$753.13
	CM Overhead & Profit	* -		\$ 0	\$ 3,047,000	\$		\$ 3,324,000	\$69.78
	CM Contingency	\$		\$ 0	\$ 609,000	\$	0	\$ 747,000	
b.	CM Construction Services (C)	\$		\$ 0	\$ 1,767,000	\$	0	\$ 2,217,000	
C.	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	
d.	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	
e.	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	
f.	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	
	L GMP	\$		\$0	\$ 66,371,000	\$	0	\$ 74,154,000	\$822.91
	& CONTINGENCY (Basic Services)				STATE		CAMPUS		
	A/E & CM Services During PW				\$ 4,272,000	\$_	0		
	A/E Services During Construction				\$ 1,609,000 \$ 5,214,000	\$ <u>-</u>	0		
	Campus Project Contingency				\$ 1,639,000	φ. \$	0		
	Total Fees & Contingency				\$ 12,734,000	s-		\$ 12,734,000	
	OTAL: CONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)				\$ 86,888,000	\$	0	\$ 86,888,000	
10. CEQA	On-Site/Off-Site Mitigation.				\$ 0	\$	0		
11. Requir	red Additional Services During PW Phase				\$ 1,978,000	\$	0		
	red Additional Services During Construction				\$ 47,000	\$_	0		
a.	Builders Risk Insurance Premium/ Seismic Fund				\$ 338,000	\$_	0		
	Owner Controlled Insurance Premium OTAL: PROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment				\$ 1,629,000 \$ 90,880,000	\$ -	0 0	\$ 90,880,000	\$1,008.52
	II Equipment					\$-	0	5 90,000,000	φ1,000.32
	L: PROJECT COST incl. Group II Equipment				\$ 97,380,000	φ-		\$ 97,380,000	\$1,080.66
16. Projec					- 31,000,000	Ψ-		- 31,000,000	ψ1,000.00
	Campus Designated Reserves					\$			
	2019/2020 Systemwide Revenue Bond					\$	3,500,000 P	•	
C.	2022/2023 Systemwide Revenue Bond					\$	3,246,000 V	V	
d.	Systemwide Revenue Bond					\$	90,634,000 C	E	
	onal Funds Required (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)					\$	0		
18. Proje	ct Fund Schedule		State		Campus	_			
	Received prior to 2025/26	···· -	6,746,000 PV		\$		State	Campus	
	Requested for 2025/26	: -	90,634,000 CE	=	\$		3,500,000 P		
	Requested after 2025/26	\$_			a		3,246,000 W		
	Si Nord.					-	90,880,000 C	0 0	,
	Paul Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor	_					6,500,000 E		Ē
	The California State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction						-,-30,000 L	0.2	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business Unit	Department	Prio	rity No.		
2025-2026	6610	California State University	Clic	k or tap here to enter text.		
Budget Request Name	Capital Outlay F	Program ID	Capital Outlay Project ID			
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to	enter text.	Click or tap he	ere to enter text.		
Project Title Fresno – Concert Hall						
Project Status and Type84,						
Status: ⊠ New □ Conti	nuing	Type: ⊠Major	☐ Minor			
Project Category (Select on	e)					
□CRI	□WSD	⊠ECP		□SM		
(Critical Infrastructure)	(Workload Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	Population)	(Seismic)		
□FLS	□FM	□PAR		□RC		
(Fire Life Safety)	(Facility Modernization)	(Public Access Recred	ation)	(Resource Conservation)		
Total Request (in thousands) \$84,510	Phase(s) to be F PWCE	unded	Total Project \$ 84,510	t Cost (in thousands)		

Budget Request Summary

This project will construct a 33,000 assignable square foot (ASF)/47,000 gross square foot (GSF) Concert Hall (#43) on the site of the Temporary Lab School (#30) and the Lab School Annex (#135T) at California State University, Fresno (Fresno State). The new Concert Hall would provide a modernized, acoustically designed venue to meet the needs of choral and orchestra programs. The new facility will include a 1,000-seat music hall, a large music rehearsal/multi-use room, and support spaces for the College of Arts & Humanities. The project will create space for concerts, lecture series, oral presentations, and short films. This new building will be instrumental in establishing community partnerships and promoting the College vision to emphasize the role of arts and humanities in the Central San Joaquin Valley. This project will be partially supported by donor funds.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Requires Legislation	Code Section(s) to be Add	ded/Amended/Repealed	CCCI			
□ Yes ⊠ No	Click or tap here to enter text.	tap here to enter text.				
Requires Provisional Langu	age	Budget Package Status	<u>'</u>			
□ Yes ⊠ No		☐ Needed ☐ Not Need	led □ Existing			
Impact on Support Budget						
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	⊠ No	Swing Space Needed	□ Yes ⊠ No			
Future Savings ☐ Yes	⊠ No	Generate Surplus Property	□ Yes ⊠ No			
Future Costs ⊠ Yes	□ No					
		partment concur with proposed dated by the department				
Prepared By D. Warotama Dolly, U. Warotama	Date 8/30/2024	Reviewed By J. Andersen	Date 8/30/2024			
P. Gannoe Pal Manne (Aug 22, 2024 17:09 PD	Date 8/30/2024	Agency Secretary P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 17:09 PDT) Paul Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 17:09 PDT)				
	Department of F	inance Use Only				
Principal Program Budget A Click or tap here to enter text.	Analyst	Date submitted to the Legislature Click or tap to enter a date.				

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Fresno – Concert Hall – \$84,510,000 for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction. The project will construct a 33,000 ASF/47,000 GSF Concert Hall to provide a 1,000-seat music hall, a large music rehearsal/multi-use room, and support spaces for the College of Arts & Humanities. Total project costs are estimated at \$84,510,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$3,215,000), Working Drawings (\$3,047,000), and Construction (\$78,248,000).

The construction amount includes \$65,063,000 for the construction contract, \$1,339,000 for contingency, \$4,698,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$8,247,000 for agency retained items, and \$5,163,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule estimates Preliminary Plans will begin in July 2025 and will be completed in January 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in January 2026 and will be approved in September 2026. Construction is scheduled to begin in March 2027 and will be completed in September 2028.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

Fresno State has the fourth largest performing arts enrollment in the CSU system. The music department at Fresno State had 615 Full-time Equivalent (FTE) students and 25 FTE faculty in fall 2020, up from 575 FTE students in 2017. Undergraduates can pursue one of six foci (composition, instrumental performance, jazz studies, music as a liberal art, music education, or vocal performance) and graduate students can earn a Master of Arts in either music education or performance. The drama department had 252 FTE in fall 2020.

However, Fresno State does not have an auditorium that can accommodate 1,000-seat capacity to support the large performing arts programs. The university currently has four auditoria spaces, with capacities ranging from 180 seats to 360 seats. Fresno State currently uses a high school concert hall for many larger performances as the existing performance facilities do not have the required capacity.

The new Concert Hall will provide a state-of-the-art facility that will help advance education through arts exposure and promote broad access increasing equity, diversity, and inclusion. The Concert Hall will boost visibility and awareness of the professional arts in Fresno, increasing educational attainment in the Central Valley, primarily composed of first generation multi-cultural people of color. In addition, the Fresno Philharmonic, who currently performs at a downtown facility (Saroyan Theatre), has expressed its desire to move to this facility once constructed.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

The facility will be instrumental in establishing community partnerships and promoting the College vision to emphasize the role of arts and humanities in the Central San Joaquin Valley. The President's Commission on the Future of the Arts and Humanities at Fresno State was formed to review the university's existing programs and facilities, identify opportunities and strategies to grow the College's presence and partnerships, and explore the possibility of new facilities to support the College, campus community, and the essential role of the arts and humanities in the Central San Joaquin Valley.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1: No Project

This alternative will not provide adequate facilities for the campus music program. Students and faculty would continue to work and study in the 1954 Old Music building with fire/life safety, Americans with Disability Act (ADA), and infrastructure deficiencies. This would be detrimental to student learning and have an adverse impact on faculty recruitment and retention. In addition, this option will jeopardize the committed donor funding for this project.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Alternative 2: Construct a New Concert Hall

This alternative will construct a 33,000 ASF/47,000 GSF Concert Hall to provide a 1,000-seat music hall, a large music rehearsal/multi-use room, and support spaces for the College of Arts & Humanities. The facilities will be instrumental in establishing community partnerships and promoting the College vision to emphasize the role of the Arts and humanities in the Central San Joaquin Valley. The Concert Hall has the potential to boost visibility and awareness of the arts at Fresno State, enabling the campus to continue generating creative energy and be a source of pride for Fresno residents.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 2, construct a new Concert Hall, is the recommended solution.

This project will provide the College of Arts & Humanities with better facilities as well as opportunities and space for students to perform or participate in cultural activities. The new Concert Hall will help advance education through arts exposure and promote broad access increasing equity, diversity, and inclusion.

2. Detailed scope description.

This project will construct a 33,000 ASF/47,000 GSF Concert Hall (#43) on the site of the Temporary Lab School (#30) and the Lab School Annex (#135T) at Fresno State. The Lab School building and Annex are temporary buildings built in 1953 and have exceeded their useful life. The removal/loss of this temporary capacity space (lecture, lab, and faculty offices) will be replaced in other campus capital outlay projects included in the Five-Year Capital Outlay program. The new Concert Hall will include a 1,000-seat music hall, a large music rehearsal/multi-use room, and support spaces for the College of Arts & Humanities. The project will create space for concerts, lecture series, oral presentations, and short films.

3. Basis for cost information.

The project budget is based on the feasibility study completed by AMS and the CSU Cost Guide, escalated to 2025-2026 costs. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide, campus sources, and donor.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

This project supports Fresno State music program and benefits Fresno community and Central San Joaquin Valley.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The project is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$1,118,000.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

None.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Office of the State Fire Marshal; Division of State Architect; Plan Check Firm; CSU Seismic Review Board; CSU Mechanical Review Board; Office of the Fire Safety; Fresno State Campus Planning Committee; Arboretum Subcommittee; Presidents Committee for Disabled Access

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COBCP - Narrative DF-151 (REV 07/21)

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes. The new building will be placed on the site of two existing buildings which will be demolished.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

This project will utilize the site for existing buildings and will preserve valuable agricultural resources. No change in environmental resources is expected.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

The project will incorporate efficient development patterns and will tie into adequate existing infrastructure.

FR-Concert Hall CPDC 2-7 hl mc JEA PG

CPDC Proj No Project Type:	MAJOR	Project	OUTLAY ES t Schedule t Started	STATE UNIVER	@	Dur Jul-25	ation		D Budget Yo CC	PDC 2-7 hl mc JEA P ate: 08/10/24 ear: 2025/26 CI: 10461 EPI: 5000
Campus:	CSU FRESNO		natics Approva		@	Nov-25	150		New Const	Bono
Project:	CONCERT HALL			ompleted Completed		Jan-26 Sep-26	60 240	Net Area	New Const 32,860	Reno
Arch/Engr:	TBD			d (NTP)		Mar-27	180	Gross Area	46,943	
Contractor:	TBD			eted (NOC)		Sep-28	540	Efficiency:	70.00%	#DIV/0!
Delivery Type		Total F	•	on (Calendar Day	ys)	DENO	1170		TOT41	A/ 51
Phase: BUILDING	BUDGET @ COBCP/AMEND		NEW CONS	CAMPUS		STATE	VATIO	CAMPUS	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
1	oundations	\$	=	o, oo		•		o, oo		
1	asement Construction	\$					_			
1	SUBSTRUCTURE	\$	0	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$	o \$0.00
1	perstructure(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)	\$		-			-		·	
B20 Ex	terior Enclosure	\$					_			
1	pofing	\$			—				_	
1	SHELLterior Construction	\$	0	\$	_0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$	<u>0</u> \$0.00
1	airways	\$		•			-			
1	terior Finishes	\$		•			_			
1	INTERIORS	\$	0	\$	0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$	0 \$0.00
1	onveying Systems	\$					_			
1	umbing Systems	\$		-			-			
1	/AC Systemsre Protection Systems	\$					-			
1	ectrical Systems	\$					-			
	elecom	\$								
1	BUILDING SERVICES	\$	45,455,819	\$	0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$ 45,456,	000 \$968.32
1	roup Equipment	\$	1,817,824				-			
	ırnishings (i.e.Group I casework) EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS	•	1,818,000	•	<u> </u>	0	- و	0	\$ 1,818,	000 \$38.73
1	pecial Construction	\$	1,010,000	<u> </u>	_ • •		*-		1,010,	φου.7ο
	elective Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)	\$					_			
F2020 Ha	zardous Material Removal	\$								
1	ustainable Building Measures	\$			—		. –			
1	SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION	ş	0	\$	_0	0	\$_	0	\$	0 \$0.00 \$0.00
	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - Building	<u> </u>	47,274,000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	s-	0	\$ 47,274,	
G1020	Site Prep & Site Improvements	\$	1,418,220	<u> </u>	- 0		*-	0	¥ <u></u>	φ1,007.00
G3040	Site Utilities (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)	\$							Bldg+GC	+Ins \$1,223
G2050	Landscape Budget	\$	472,740				_			
G50	Sustainable Site Measures	\$					_			
G90 G100	Other Site Construction	\$					-			
	General Requirements - Sitework	\$	1,891,000	s	<u> </u>	0	s-	0	\$ 1,891.	000 \$40.28
	AL: BUILDING and SITEWORK	\$	49,165,000	š ———	<u> </u>	0	š-	0	\$ 49,165,	
1	n to midpoint of Construction	\$	6,113,000	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$ 6,113,	
1	AL: BUILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION	\$	55,278,000	\$	0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$ 55,278,	
1	esign Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$	1,548,000	\$	0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$ 1,548,	
	econstruction Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)te Management during Construction	\$	2,985,000	\$	0 \$ 0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$ 332,	
	B Payment and Peformance Bonds.	\$	498,000	\$	0 \$ 0 \$	0	φ_ s	0	\$ 2,985, \$ 498,	
1	bcontractor Payment and Performance Bonds	\$	498,000	\$	<u> </u>	0	\$-	0	\$ 498.	
e. Co	onstruction Phase OH&P	\$	3,040,000	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0	\$ 3,040,	000
	3 Contingency	\$	2,764,000	\$	0 \$	0	\$_	0	\$ 2,764	
	GMP	\$	66,943,000	\$	<u> </u>	0	\$_	CAMPUS	\$ 66,943,	,000 \$1,426.05
	CONTINGENCY (Basic Services) esign Services For Design Phase (Phase 1)				s-	2,321,000	s -	CAMPUS 0		
b. Pre	econstruction Services For Design Phase (Phase 1)				\$	497,000	\$_	0		
c. Pro	oject Management and Administration				\$	4,709,000	\$_	0		
	ampus Project Contingencytal Fees & Contingency					1,339,000 8,866,000	\$ -	0	\$ 8,866,	000
	AL: CONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)					75,809,000	\$-	0	\$ 75,809,	
10. CEQA Or	n-Site/Off-Site Mitigation				\$	0	\$_	0		
	Additional Services During PW Phase					1,564,000	\$_	0		
	Additional Services During Construction					322,000 325,000	\$_ \$	0		
1	wner Controlled Insurance Premium				· —	1,327,000	\$-	0		
	AL: PROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment					79,347,000	\$_	0	\$ 79,347,	,000 \$1,690.28
1	EquipmentPROJECT COST incl. Group II Equipment				\$	5,163,000 84,510,000	<u> </u>	0	\$ 84,510,	,000 \$1,800.27
16. Project Fu					⊸—	04,310,000	Ψ-		Ψ 04,510,	φ1,000.27
	ampus Designated Reserves						\$	11,637,000		13.77%
1	SU Reserves						\$_	3,500,000		4.14%
	SU Systemwide Revenue Bond						\$ _	44,373,000		52.51%
	onor / Auxiliary / Other Fundsal Funds Required (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)						*-	25,000,000 0	VVC	29.58% 0.00%
	Fund Schedule		State			Campus	Ψ_			0.00 /6
1	eceived prior to 2025/26	\$	3,500,000		\$			State	Campus	
	equested for 2025/26	\$	44,373,000		\$	36,637,000		3,215,000		P
Re	equested after 2025/26	\$			*			3,047,000 t 73,085,000 t		W C
Paul Ga	R J J Court.						-	79,347,000	0	—-
	aul Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor							5,163,000	E	0 E
Th	e California State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction									

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	s Unit	Department	Priority No.			
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap here to enter to		
Budget Request Name	•	Capital Outlay P	ogram ID	Capital	Outlay Projec	t ID	
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	enter text.	Click or to	ap here to enter t	ext.	
Project Title Fullerton – Science Labor	ratory Re	placement (Seisr	mic)				
Project Status and Type							
Status: ⊠ New □ Cont	inuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Mino	r		
Project Category (Select or	ne)						
□CRI	□WSD		□ECP		⊠SM		
(Critical Infrastructure)		d Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	l Populatior			
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)	□FM (Eggility M	odernization)	□PAR (Public Access Recre	ation)		Conservation)	
Total Request (in thousands		Phase(s) to be Fu	·		oject Cost (in		
\$ 179,369	,	PWCE	maca	\$ 179,36	•	inoosanas,	
Budget Request Summary							
will replace a total of 214 Figure 214 FTES, including teaching labs (93 FTES) for Experience labs and 27 facult wet labs to support campuscience. The project replace multi-phase/multi-year rend Seismic Performance Rating excess of \$116.6 million. Due space requirements for wet cost effective than rental or	lower division of the suit of the suit of the suit of the size to the size that of the size	sion teaching labs nemistry, and Geo or the College of N ructional and rese nood intensive wet 182,900 ASF/310,00 V and currently have are of McCarthy Hall rend	(121 FTES) and upp logy. In addition, th Natural Sciences an arch need for fume labs in McCarthy H 00 GSF McCarthy H as a 10-year recurring and the complex ovation, construction	er division is building the Mathe hood lale all. McCong and noting of the	n and gradua g will provide ematics and in bs, especially e first phase of arthy Hall is clo on-recurring re programs, an	te level student terdisciplinary in health a assified as enewal need in d costly surge	
Requires Legislation	Code Se	ection(s) to be Add	ded/Amended/Rep	ealed	CCCI		
□ Yes ⊠ No	Click or to	p here to enter text.			10461		
Requires Provisional Langua ☐ Yes ☐ No	ige		Budget Package □ Needed ⊠	Status Not Nee	ded 🗆 Exist	ing	
Impact on Support Budget							
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	⊠ No		Swing Space Nee		□ Yes	⊠ No	
Future Savings	⊠ No		Generate Surplus	Property	⁄ □ Yes	⊠ No	
Future Costs Yes	□ No						
If proposal affects another of Attach comments of affects	-	-				□ No esignee.	
Prepared By	Date		Reviewed By		Date		
H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 21, 2024 08:36 PDT)	8/30/202	24	J. Andersen	WEON. (Aug 21, 2024 10:23 PD1	8/30/2024		
Department Director P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Alur 22, 2024 17:11 PDI	Date 8/30/202	24	Agency Secretar P. Gannoe	-	Date 8/30/2024		

Department of Finance Use Only

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Date submitted to the Legislature

Click or tap to enter a date.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Fullerton – Science Laboratory Replacement (Seismic) – \$179,369,000 for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction.

This project will construct a new 64,016 ASF/94,757 GSF Science Laboratory Replacement building (#51) to replace fume-hood intensive wet labs in McCarthy Hall (#2) as the first phase of multi-phase/multi-year renovation of 1963 McCarthy Hall. Total project costs are estimated at \$179,369,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$7,009,000), Working Drawings (\$6,641,000), and Construction (\$165,719,000). The construction amount includes \$138,458,000 for the construction contract, \$2,849,000 for contingency, \$10,000,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$18,939,000 for agency retained items, and \$9,123,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule estimates Preliminary Plans will begin in September 2025 and will be completed in June 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in June 2026 and will be approved in June 2027. Construction is scheduled to begin in December 2027 and will be completed in December 2029.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

As an aging 60-year-old building, McCarthy Hall requires extensive renovation to address seismic and fire/life safety deficiencies, deferred maintenance, energy efficiency, and systems infrastructure that will bring the building into general code compliance and meet current industry performance standards. The proposed 64,016 ASF/94,757 GSF Science Laboratory Replacement building provides the surge space necessary as a critical component in the multi-phase/multi-year renovation of 182,900 ASF/310,000 GSF McCarthy Hall (#2).

McCarthy Hall currently has a 10-year recurring and non-recurring renewal need in excess of \$116.6 million. McCarthy Hall is classified as Seismic Performance Rating of Level V. The main building has discontinuous shear walls, higher shear demand to capacity ratio, and inadequate lateral strength of columns that support the discontinuous shear walls. The west wing of the building has seismic deficiencies in the connections of the precast wall panels, braced frame beams, and braced frame connections.

Renovating 182,900 ASF/310,000 GSF six floors plus basement in McCarthy Hall would be challenging. McCarthy Hall has been home to Biology, Chemistry, Geology, Math, Physics, and Anthropology, which are all impacted programs. The building contains 37 lecture rooms, 29 teaching labs, research labs, instructional support space, faculty offices and administrative offices. Among the teaching labs and research labs, about 40,000 ASF labs are biology, chemistry, and geology wet labs. The building is fully occupied and highly utilized. It would be impossible to renovate the building while simultaneously remaining operational. Due to the size of McCarthy Hall, renovating two floors per phase would require about 75,000 square feet of surge space. Currently, the university does not have any vacant space available for surge space. Bringing temporary modular facilities for surge space would require large open land. California State University, Fullerton (Cal State Fullerton) is land-locked and does not have the land to accommodate 94 temporary modular buildings that will be used for surge space. In addition to the land deficiency, the costs associated with leasing modular buildings, especially for wet labs, site works and utilities/infrastructure, and maintenance would be significant. In addition, the 1963 McCarthy Hall was not designed for fume-hood intensive science wet labs. The infrastructure does not support the current teaching and learning activities. Renovating existing wet labs in McCarthy Hall would be challenging and expensive.

Due to the size of McCarthy Hall and the complexity and costly surge space requirements for wet labs for McCarthy Hall renovation, construction of a new permanent Science Laboratory Replacement building to replace wet labs in McCarthy Hall is more cost effective than a lengthy rental of impermanent modular facilities. The vacated space in McCarthy Hall will be used as surge space to allow multi-phase McCarthy Hall renovation and other academic renovation projects in the future.

As one of the largest CSU universities, all of Cal State Fullerton's undergraduate programs, pre-programs, and undeclared/undecided programs are impacted for 2024-2025.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The vacated space in McCarthy Hall, once this replacement building is complete, will also allow the university to address impacted academic programs and accommodate campus growth in the future.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

The project is fundamentally linked to Cal State Fullerton's Strategic Plan to support student success, develop and broaden nationally recognized signature elements of the Titan experience, support faculty teaching, scholarly and creative activities, and service, and provide space to allow planned renovations of buildings and facilities as needed, which include the creation of the spaces and places for communities to gather and convene.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1: Renovate McCarthy Hall in multiple phases while the building remains operational and utilize modular buildings as surge space during renovation.

McCarthy Hall is a large building. It contains 817 lab stations with a utilization rate of 121% and 1,719 lecture stations with a utilization rate of 75%. Renovating two floors per phase would require approximately 75,000 square feet of surge space, which requires 94 twenty-foot by forty-foot trailers. The anticipated cost for temporary modular buildings is \$80,000,000. This option requires large open land on campus and costs associated with the site works and utility/infrastructure, leasing modular buildings, especially for wet labs, and maintenance.

Alternative 2: Construct a new Science Laboratory Replacement building to replace wet labs in McCarthy Hall. The vacated space will be used as surge space for McCarthy Hall renovation. The anticipated cost for this replacement building is \$179,369,000. This option is the most cost-effective solution to address the surge space need for McCarthy Hall renovation.

Alternative 3: Build a larger project to replace McCarthy Hall entirely.

This approach would build a complete replacement building for McCarthy Hall. The cost estimated for this approach is approximately \$600,000,000. This option will increase the efficiency of construction and minimize overall disruption. This option would also leave McCarthy Hall in the current state of deficiency unless additional funds are allocated for demolition or future renovation.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 2 is the recommended solution. The proposed 64,016 ASF/94,757 GSF Science Laboratory Replacement building would be the least disruptive to the university operations and the physical environment. Due to the size of McCarthy Hall and the complexity and costly surge space requirements for wet labs for McCarthy Hall renovation, this option is the most cost effective and practical solution. A new science laboratory replacement building will provide adequate surge space to allow for multi-year/multi-phase renovations for much needed seismic, life/safety, and deferred renewal for McCarthy Hall. The new replacement building allows the university to strategically plan the phased renovations of McCarthy Hall. Without the proposed building, the university does not have adequate surge space for the project. This is a long-term, economical solution that solves seismic, life/safety, energy efficiency, and deferred maintenance problems. The project will also bring the wet labs to current code compliance and accessibility aside from providing a more efficient and sustainable building.

Alternative 1 and 3 are unacceptable. Alternative 1 is not feasible. The university does not have open land to accommodate 94 temporary modular buildings for surge space. The costs associated with the site works and utility/infrastructure, leasing modular buildings, especially for

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

wet labs, and maintenance are significant. The cost for alternative 3 is beyond the funding availability and would also leave McCarthy Hall in the current state of deficiency.

2. Detailed scope description.

The proposed project will construct a new 64,016 ASF/94,757 GSF energy efficient and modern Science Laboratory Replacement building (#51) to replace fume-hood intensive wet labs in McCarthy Hall as the first phase of a multi-phase/multi-year renovation of 182,900 ASF/310,000 GSF McCarthy Hall (#2).

The replacement building will be sited south of the existing Dan Black Hall according to the Board of Trustees' approved campus master plan. The replacement building will provide total 214 FTES capacity space, including 233 stations of lower division teaching labs (121 FTES) and 238 stations of upper division and graduate level teaching labs (93 FTES) for Biology, Chemistry and Geology.

In addition, this building will provide student research labs and 27 faculty offices for the College of Natural Sciences and Mathematics and interdisciplinary wet labs to support campuswide instructional and research need for fume hood labs, especially in health science. The vacated space in McCarthy Hall will be used as surge space for McCarthy Hall renovation and other academic renovation projects in the future as more and more buildings are aging.

As one of the largest CSU universities, all Cal State Fullerton's undergraduate programs, pre-programs, and undeclared/undecided programs are impacted for 2024-2025. The vacated space in McCarthy Hall, once this replacement building is complete, will also allow the university to address impacted academic programs and accommodate campus growth in the future.

3. Basis for cost information.

Construction information is based on the CSU cost guide, escalated to the 2025-2026 costs. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide and campus sources.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

The preferred option is the least expensive option, and allows for a well-planned, methodical approach to the phased renovation of McCarthy Hall.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The project is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$2,254,269.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

There are no known risks except those normally associated with new construction projects.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect Plan Check Firm, CSU Seismic Review Board, other CSU required plans review.

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes, the project will utilize existing infrastructure systems as noted in the campus master plan. The proposed location has been identified as a future academic building in the campus master plan which will integrate into the current systems.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes, the project will result in a more efficient academic building. Constructing laboratories that meet current code requirements will also improve the protection of the environment and agricultural resources.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

This project does not include additional utility infrastructure that would encourage efficient development patterns.

CPDC Proj No: Project Type: MAJOR			THE CALIFORNIA ST IPITAL OUTLAY ESTI	Date: 08/12/24 Budget Year: 2025/26						
, ,				Project Schedule		,	Duratio	n	CCCI:	
_				Project Started			ep-25	_	EPI: 5	5000
Campus:	CSU FULL			Schematics Approva			eb-26 18			_
Project:	Science Lal	boratory Replacement (Seismic)		Preliminary Plans Co Working Drawings C			lun-26 12 lun-27 36		New Const 64,016	Reno
Arch/Engr:	: TBD			Construction Started			ec-27 18		94,757	
Contractor				Construction Comple	. ,	~ 	ec-29 73		67.56%	0.00%
Delivery Ty		COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD		Total Project Duration			157	_ ′	01.0070	0.0070
Milestone:		BUDGET @ COBCP/AMEND		NEW CONST	RUCTION	,	RENOV	ATION	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
BUILD	DING			STATE	CAMPUS	STA	TE	CAMPUS		
A10	Foundations			\$ 2,986,020						
A20	Basement Constru	ction		\$						
Α	SUBSTRUCTUR	RE		\$ 2,986,000 \$. 0	\$	0	\$0	\$ 2,986,000	\$31.51
B10	Superstructure(Ver	rtical, Floor, & Roof)		\$ 14,831,731						
B20	Exterior Enclosure			\$ 11,282,582						
B30	•			\$1,175,730						
В				\$ 27,290,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ 27,290,000	\$288.00
		on		\$ 6,786,717						
C20 C30	•			\$ 500,500						
C				\$ 4,095,559 \$ 11,383,000 \$		s —	0	\$ <u> </u>	\$ 11,383,000	\$120.13
D10		IS		\$ 804,650		*	_	·	<u> </u>	ψ.20.10
D20				\$ 5,344,416						
D30				\$ 14,898,903						
D40	Fire Protection Sys	stems		\$ 1,374,794						
D50	Electrical Systems.			\$ 12,622,063						
				\$						
D		VICES		\$ 35,045,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$0	\$ 35,045,000	\$369.84
E10				\$ 13,221,856						
E20		oup I casework)		\$ 499,992					£ 42.722.000	¢444.04
E F10		ND FURNISHINGS		\$ <u>13,722,000</u> \$	0	»	0	\$0	\$ 13,722,000	\$144.81
F10 F20		onon (Excluding hazmat removal)		\$						
		Il Removal		\$						
F50		ng Measures		\$						
F		STRUCTION & DEMOLITION		\$		\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0.00
F60	GENERAL REQ	UIREMENTS - Building		\$ 4,734,560				-	4,735,000	\$49.97
1. TOTA	L BUILDING	-		\$ 95,161,000		\$	0	\$0	\$ 95,161,000	\$1,004.26
G1020	Site Prep &	Site Improvements		\$ 2,416,623	0		0	0	_	
G3040	Site Utilities	(Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)		\$ 2,050,573					Bldg+GC+Ins	\$1,232
G2050		Budget		\$						
G50		Site Measures		\$						
G90		Construction		\$						
G100		quirements - Sitework		\$ 424,383						054.00
		and SITEWORK		\$ 4,892,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$0	\$ 4,892,000	\$51.63
		Construction		\$ <u>100,053,000</u> \$ \$ 17,583,000 \$		\$	0	\$0 \$0	\$ <u>100,053,000</u> \$ 17,583,000	
		SITEWORK AND ESCALATION		\$ 117,636,000 \$		\$	<u>0</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ 117,636,000	\$1.241.45
6. Z10	,	or Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)		\$ 3,294,000 \$		\$	0	\$ 0		\$1,241.43
a.	-	ervices For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)		\$ 706,000		\$	0	\$ 0		ψ100.00
		during Construction		\$ 6,352,000		\$	0	\$ 0		
	-	Peformance Bonds		\$ 1,059,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$ 0		
d.	Subcontractor Pay	ment and Performance Bonds		\$ 1,059,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 1,059,000	
e.	Construction Phase	e OH&P		\$ 6,470,000 \$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 6,470,000	
f.	DB Contingency			\$ 5,882,000	0	\$	0	\$0	\$ 5,882,000	
				\$ <u>142,458,000</u> \$	0	\$		\$0	\$ 142,458,000	\$1,503.40
		(Basic Services)				STA		CAMPUS		
a. b.		or Design Phase (Phase 1) ervices For Design Phase (Phase 1)					1,000 59,000	\$0 \$0		
C.		ent and Administration					34,000	\$ 0		
d.		ontingency					19,000	\$ 0	\$2,849,000	
		tingency				·	3,000	\$0	\$ 18,883,000	
		CTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)				\$ 161,34		\$0	\$ <u>161,341,000</u>	
		itigation ces During PW Phase					0,000	\$0 \$0		
		ces During Construction					17,000	\$ 0		
		rance Premium/ Seismic Fund					35,000	\$ 0		
		Insurance Premium					23,000	\$ 0		
		COST excl. Group II Equipment						\$ 0	\$ 170,246,000	\$1,796.66
							23,000	\$ 0		******
		T incl. Group II Equipment				\$ 179,36	9,000	\$0	\$ 179,369,000	\$1,892.94
16. Project		ed Reserves						\$ 17,937,000	PWC	
		nue Bond						\$ 161,432,000		
	•	n						\$		
d.		Other Funds						\$		
17. Additi	onal Funds Require	ed (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)						\$ 0		
18. Proje	ect Fund Schedule			State		Cam	ous			
	Received prior to	2025/26		\$		\$		State	Campus	
	Requested for	2025/26		\$ 161,432,000		\$ 17,93	37,000		P 7,009,000 F	
	Requested after	2025/26		\$		Ф		152,309,000	W 6,641,000 V C 4,287,000 C	
	Rilland							152,309,000	17,937,000	,
	Paul Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 17:11 PD	istant Vice Chancellor						9,123,000		=
		te University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction	1						-	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Finant Vanu	D	. 1111	Dan sudua and	n	wiewih - No	_
Fiscal Year	Business	SUNIT	Department California Charles		riority No.	
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap here to enter text.	
Budget Request Name	Request Name Capital Outlay Program ID Capital				Outlay Project ID	-
Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap her			nter text.	Click or tap	o here to enter text.	
Project Title Long Beach – Peterson H	all 1 Rep	lacement Buildin	a (Seismic)			
			9 (************************************			_
Project Status and Type Status: □ New ⊠ Conti	nuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Minor		
Project Category (Select on	e)					
□CRI	□WSD		□ECP		⊠SM	
(Critical Infrastructure)		d Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	Population)		
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)	□FM (Egcility M	nodernization)	□PAR (Public Access Recrea	ation	□RC (Resource Conservation)	
Total Request (in thousands)		Phase(s) to be Fu	<u> </u>		ject Cost (in thousands)	_
\$ 181,387	1	CE	naea	\$ 190,956	-	
Budget Request Summary				'		_
croviding 213 Full-time Equivaddress impacted program and will also address space consolidate the College of I supported by the recent systhe health care professions. Education and internship/collegech) students and prepacuildings: the 60-year-old Personal Personal Marcians with Disabilities Americans with Disabilities Americans materials issues of \$4,569,000 for Working Draw 2022-2023 respectively. The	s in health deficience Health an temwide The partrareer opporters student eterson Hould office act (ADA) tenance wings were schemati	h professions. The poies in faculty office and Human Services Capacity Assessmership with Long Boortunities for Califots for the workforce all 1 (#37) which is 4 (#36) and Facult, and infrastructure and capital renew ssibility deficiencies approved by the c design was approved.	project will increase es, maximize utilizates, maximize utilizates, maximize utilizates, maximize utilizates, maximize utilizates, maximize utilizates, maximize in health profession the CSU Seismices of the CSU Seismices, These al needs. In additions, The requests of \$5 Department of Find	the universion of share across camentified a sure will provey, Long Bears. The province Review Bominating to three busin, all three 5,000,000 for ance (DOI pard of Trus	rsity's lab capacity by 8% red resources, and appus. The project is shortage of graduates in vide onsite clinical care each (Cal State Long oject will demolish three pard's Priority 2 list, and the their seismic, life safety, wildings have a combined be buildings have significant for Preliminary Plans and F) in 2020-2021 and	
Yes ⊠ No		p here to enter text.	ied/Amended/kep	ealea	10461	
Requires Provisional Langua			Budget Package	Status	1	_
Pres ⊠ No	ge		-	Not Need	led □ Existing	
Impact on Support Budget						-
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	\boxtimes No		Swing Space Nee		□ Yes ⊠ No	
Future Savings	oxtimes No		Generate Surplus Property		□ Yes ⊠ No	
Future Costs	□ No					
f proposal affects another of Attach comments of affec	-	=				
Prepared By	Date		Reviewed By		Date	_
H. Lin	8/30/202	24		al Earl	. 8/30/2024	
Hong Lin (Aug 21, 2024 08:36 PDT) Department Director	Date		Agency Secretary	(Aug 21, 2024 10:23 PD1)	Date	_
P. Gannoe RJ Marmet	8/30/202	24		y 1enner	8/30/2024	
Paul Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 18:11 PDT)	0,00,20		Paul Gannoe (Au	ug 22, 2024 18:11 PDT)	, 5, 50, 202 1	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Department of Finance Use Only							
Principal Program Budget Analyst	Date submitted to the Legislature						
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap to enter a date.						

A. COBCP Abstract:

Long Beach – Peterson Hall 1 Replacement Building (Seismic) - \$181,387,000 for Construction.

This project will demolish the existing 65-year-old Peterson Hall 1 building (#37), temporary Faculty Office 4 (#36), and the temporary Faculty Office 5 (#45), and replace them with a new 86,492 ASF/129,798 GSF building (#30) to support the College of Health and Human Services. Total project costs are estimated at \$190,956,000 including Preliminary Plans (\$5,000,000), Working Drawings (\$4,569,000), and Construction (\$181,387,000). The construction amount includes \$146,276,000 for the construction contract, \$5,851,000 for contingency, \$7,588,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$24,365,000 for agency retained items, and \$6,876,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule for Preliminary Plans started in July 2021 and was completed in November 2023. The Working Drawings started in November 2023 and were approved in May 2024. Construction is scheduled to begin in December 2025 and will be completed in November 2027.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

The existing Peterson Hall 1 building was constructed in 1959. The building is more than 60 years old and is on the CSU Seismic Review Board's Priority 2 list and has been determined to be seismically deficient. The Faculty Office 4 and 5 buildings are 50-year-old temporary structures which are also seismically deficient. In addition to structural deficiency, according to the feasibility study done in 2018, these three buildings require all major building systems upgrades, hazardous material abatement, ADA access compliance upgrades, a modern fire alarm, sprinkler system, and additional elevators, and other upgrades necessary to meet current code requirements. The Facilities Condition report indicated that these three buildings have a combined \$33 million in deferred maintenance and capital renewal needs. The following pictures show the existing conditions of these buildings.



Replacing these buildings in their entirety has been determined to be the best course of action as the replacement building will resolve issues of structural and functional obsolescence.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The project is supported by the recent systemwide Capacity Assessment Study which identified a shortage of graduates in the health care professions. Based on the approved enrollment projection for 2029-2030, health professions require additional 20,000 ASF instructional and research space. The existing nursing teaching labs are well utilized with 146% utilization in fall 2022. The replacement building will address impacted academic programs in health professions, consolidate the College of Health and Human Services (CHHS) from 11 different buildings across the campus, and create a collaborative culture among CHHS faculty, staff, students, and its community outreach clinics. The new teaching spaces and clinics will prepare students for the much-needed workforce in health professions.

Furthermore, this project will address the university's significant space deficiency in faculty offices. Based on the approved enrollment projection for 2028-2029, the university has space deficit of 521faculty offices. Peterson Hall 1 Replacement building will provide 195 faculty offices.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

This project will provide adequate and safe facilities for faculty, staff, and students, and is consistent with the 2024 master plan. The project will remove three buildings which are very energy inefficient and replace them with a net zero energy building.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1: No Project

This alternative will not provide adequate facilities for modern teaching and learning. Students and faculty would continue to work and study in substandard 1950s and 1960s era buildings with major seismic, multiple life safety, ADA, and infrastructure deficiencies. This would be detrimental to student learning and have an adverse impact on faculty recruitment and retention. In addition, this option will not address the combined \$33 million deferred maintenance and capital renewal backlog of the three buildings. This option also fails to address the faculty office space deficiency.

Alternative 2: Renovate the Existing Peterson Hall 1, Faculty Office 4, and Faculty Office 5 Buildings

This alternative was studied in detail in the project feasibility study. Due to the extensive seismic, life safety, ADA, and infrastructure deficiencies of the existing buildings, and the extensive utility and site work required, this alternative is not economically feasible. Building renovation costs are projected to be approximately 85 percent of new construction costs (on a \$/GSF basis), and site work and utility interconnection costs are expected to exceed those of new construction due to the need to address three buildings rather than a single new building. According to the feasibility study completed in 2018, the combined building, site, and utility interconnection costs for renovation are projected to be approximately 95 percent of new construction costs (on a \$/GSF basis).

In addition to the economic infeasibility of this option, renovating three buildings would not provide any additional space to address faculty office deficit, and would not provide appropriately sized and located spaces to meet the needs of the College of Health and Human Services programs.

Alternative 3: Construct a New Replacement Building

This alternative will demolish the existing Peterson Hall 1, Faculty Office 4, and Faculty Office 5 buildings and replace them with a modern and efficient building with the required spaces for the College of Health and Human Services programs. This option will address seismic, life safety, ADA, and infrastructure deficiencies. It will consolidate the College of Health and Human Services from 11 locations across campus to maximize utilization of shared resources and allow efficient operation.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The replacement building will provide additional space need for health professions and create a collaborative culture among faculty, staff, students, and its community outreach clinics. The new teaching spaces and clinics will prepare students for the workforce by providing them with equipment and techniques utilized in the industry today. The vacated space by the College of Health and Human Services will be backfilled with faculty offices and lecture rooms to address the campuswide faculty office space shortage and the capacity loss from Peterson Hall 1 demolition.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 3, demolish Peterson Hall 1 and Faculty Office 4 and 5 buildings, and construct a new replacement building, is the recommended solution.

Peterson Hall 1 and Faculty Office 4 and 5 all have seismic, life safety, ADA, and infrastructure deficiencies. These three buildings have a combined \$33 million deferred maintenance and capital renewal backlog based on Facility Condition Assessments. Leaving these buildings as their current condition is not an option.

From project costs perspective, constructing a new replacement building is estimated to cost roughly 5% more than renovation (\$/GSF basis). However, the increased lifespan of a new building and the benefits to be gained by having a new facility in this prominent location outweigh the additional cost. The replacement building presents an opportunity to provide onsite clinical care education through the partnership with Long Beach Memorial Care and consolidate the College of Health and Human Services from 11 locations across campus to maximize utilization of shared resources and allow efficient operation. The replacement building will provide additional space need for health professions and create a collaborative culture among faculty, staff, students, and its community outreach clinics. The project will allow for right-sizing and adjacency of spaces to meet the program needs. The new teaching spaces and clinics will prepare students for the workforce by providing them with equipment and techniques utilized in the industry today. The vacated space by the College of Health and Human Services will be backfilled with faculty offices to address the campuswide faculty office space shortage.

2. Detailed scope description.

This project will construct a three-story, 86,492 ASF/129,798 GSF replacement building (#30) for the College of Health and Human Services to replace the existing Peterson Hall 1 building (#37), the temporary Faculty Office 4 (#36), and the temporary Faculty Office 5 (#45). This project will demolish the 41,000 ASF/65,000 GSF Peterson Hall 1 (#37) (2,434 FTE: 2,388 FTE in lecture, 16 FTE in lower division laboratory space, 30 FTE in upper division laboratory space) with 17 faculty offices, as well as the temporary Faculty Office 4 (#36) (10,400 ASF/13,800 GSF) with temporary 39 faculty offices and the temporary Faculty Office 5 (#45) (12,000 ASF/12,300 GSF) with temporary 23 faculty offices. The replacement building will consolidate programs within the College of Health and Human Services. This new building will provide 213 FTE (16 FTE in lower division laboratory space, 197 FTE in upper division laboratory space), graduate student research labs, student study space, a clinic which will be operated in cooperation with Long Beach Memorial Care, a local regional hospital, a medical simulation center, and 195 faculty offices. The net result is a loss of 2,221 FTE (-2,388 FTE in lecture, 167 FTE in upper division laboratory space) and an increase of 178 faculty offices. To mitigate the loss of lecture space, 1,440 FTE in lecture has been added through the Classroom Renovation (Surge)/UAM Expansion project and 785 FTES in lecture is included in the vacated space backfill plan.

Teaching labs programmed in the new replacement building will be designed to be flexible and interdisciplinary across multiple college departments. The interdisciplinary approach combined with optimally sized spaces will allow the project to target space optimization rates above the CSU guidelines.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

3. Basis for cost information.

The project was estimated based on the project budget at the schematic design phase, which was approved in the CSU Board of Trustees July 2023 meeting and escalated to the 2025-2026 costs. Cost estimates for the renovation and new construction options were provided by a third-party professional cost estimator and a General Contractor familiar with construction on the campus. Estimates by both parties were similar and provided certainty for the building cost estimate. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide, campus sources, and donor.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

Replacement of the building is estimated to cost roughly 5% more than renovation (\$/GSF basis) and the increased lifespan of a new building and the benefits to be gained by having a new facility in this prominent location outweigh the additional cost.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

Minimal surge space will be needed by timing coordination of the completion of other projects on campus. The project is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$3,088,000.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

Project risks include fluctuations in the construction market such as above-average escalation, inability to find surge space, and program requests exceeding the replacement square foot of the building.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

State Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect, Plan Check Firm, CSU Seismic Review Board, Mechanical Review Board, Native American & Archeological Monitoring, The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes. The new building will be placed in the same footprint of the existing Peterson Hall 1 building.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes. The project will be built to the standards of a Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver rating. It will also be landscaped to include drought-tolerant and native plantings.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes. The project will tie into adequate existing infrastructure.

								I	LB-PH1 Replacement Bldg CPDC	
CPDC Proj No:	LB-01731			TATE UNIVERSIT						04/29/24
Project Type:	MAJOR	Project Sche		IMATE (Form CPI	OC 2-7)	Dura	tion		Budget Year	10461
		Project Starte			@	Jul-21	ttion			5000
Campus:	CSU LONG BEACH	Schematics A			e e	Jul-23	742		211.	5000
Project:	Peterson Hall 1 Replacement Building (Seismic)			pleted		Nov-23	120		New Const	Reno
				pleted		May-24	180	Net Area	86,492	
Arch/Engr:	CANNON DESIGN			ITP)		Dec-25		Gross Area	129,798	
Contractor:	HUNT CONSTRUCTION GROUP			d (NOC)	@	Nov-27	700	Efficiency:	66.64%	#DIV/0!
Delivery Type:	CM @ RISK			Calendar Days)			1892			
Phase: BUILDING	SCHEMATIC	STATE	CONSTR	CAMPUS		STATE	VATION	CAMPUS	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
	ons		- 98,714	CAMIFOS		JIAIL		CAMIFOS		
		9					_		_	
	t Construction	\$	0				_			044.55
	RUCTUREucture(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)		99,000 88,047	\$0	•	0	*	0	1,499,000	\$11.55
	Enclosure		57,534						_	
	-nosure		60,478						_	
			06,000	s 0	s	0	s	0		\$223.47
	onstruction		13,796		·——		· —			- ' '
	S		10,015			_			=	
	inishes		88,579							
	ORS		12,000	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	16,412,000	\$126.44
	g Systems		72,500		-				_	I
D20 Plumbing	Systems	\$4,0	47,513						-	I
D30 HVAC Sy	stems	\$ 13.8	00,286						=	I
,	ection Systems.		99,534			-			-	I
	Systems		11,475						_	I
			20,991						-	I
	NG SERVICES	\$ 39,1	52,000	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 39,152,000	\$301.64
· ·	quipment		54,062						_	7
	gs (i.e.Group I casework)		30,648	.——	.——		.—			
	MENT AND FURNISHINGS	\$ 7,5	85,000 0	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	7,585,000	\$58.44
	Construction Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)	* <u> </u>	14,398				_		-	
	s Material Removal.		10,458						=	
	ble Building Measures	\$	0						-	
	AL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION	\$ 2,1	25,000	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	2,125,000	\$16.37
F60 GENER	RAL REQUIREMENTS - Building	\$ 4,7	55,034						4,755,000	\$36.63
	NG		34,000	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	100,534,000	\$774.54
G1020	Site Prep & Site Improvements		53,135	0		0		0	_	****
G3040 G2050	Site Utilities (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)		65,194						Bldg+GC+Ins	\$904
G50	Landscape Budget	\$ <u> </u>	30,906 0				_		=	
G90	Other Site Construction	\$	0				_		-	
G100	General Requirements - Sitework	\$	0						=	
2. TOTAL SITEWO		\$ 10,5	49,000	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0		\$81.27
3. SUBTOTAL: BU	JILDING and SITEWORK		83,000	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	111,083,000	<u> </u>
	dpoint of Construction and Design Contingency		97,351	\$0	\$	0	\$	0		
	JILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION			\$0	\$	0	\$	0		
	head & Profit			\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	- '	
	ngencytruction Services (C)		,	\$ <u>0</u>	\$	0	*	0		
	Not Applicable	\$		\$ 0	\$	0	*—	0		- 1
	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	- '	- 1
	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ (<u>, </u>
f	Not Applicable	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	<u> </u>
)		76,000	\$0	\$	0	\$	0	146,276,000	\$1,126.95
	NGENCY (Basic Services)					STATE	_	CAMPUS	-	
	Services During PW ices During Construction				\$	1,885,000	*—	0	<u>, </u>	
	lanagement and Administration				\$	10,286,000	\$ <u> </u>	Ö		
d. Campus I	Project Contingency				\$	5,851,000	\$	0		
	es & Contingency				\$	23,725,000	\$	0		
	ONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)				\$	170,001,000 0	<u>\$</u> —	0		<u>'</u>
	Off-Site Mitigationonal Services During PW Phase				\$	3,866,000	\$ <u> </u>	0		
	onal Services During PW Phase				\$	6,393,000	š—	0		I
a. Builders F	Risk Insurance Premium/ Seismic Fund				\$	662,000	\$	0	<u>)</u>	I
	ontrolled Insurance Premium				\$	3,158,000	\$	0		64 440 00
	ROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment				\$	184,080,000 6,876,000	<u>*</u> —	0		\$1,418.20
	CT COST incl. Group II Equipment				\$	190,956,000	<u>s</u> —	0	_	\$1,471.18
16. Project Funds					´——	,,	-		_	- + .,
a. Campus I	Designated Reserves						\$	5,000,000	_	I
	ide Revenue Bond (funded)						\$	9,569,000		
	ide Revenue Bond (Request)						\$	166,387,000		
	uxiliary / Other Funds						·-	10,000,000 0	-	I
18. Project Fund S		State				Campus	Ψ		<u> </u>	I
Received			69,000 PV	N	\$			State	Campus	I
Requeste	ed for 2025/2026		87,000 C		\$	15,000,000 C	E	5,000,000) P 0	Р
Requeste	ed after 2025/2026	\$			\$			4,569,000		W
	TOTAL LIGHT							166,387,000 175,956,000		- ^C
Paul Gannoe (Aug 22)	noe, Assistant Vice Chancellor							173,930,000	E 6,876,000	ı _e
	ornia State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction									

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	s Unit	Department		Priority No.			
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap here to enter text.			
Budget Request Name		Capital Outlay Pr	ogram ID	Capital	Outlay Project ID			
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	nter text.	Click or to	ap here to enter text.			
Project Title Northridge – Sierra Hall Renc	ovation							
Project Status and Type								
Status: ⊠ New □ Contin	nuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Mino	r			
Project Category (Select on	e)							
□CRI	□WSD		□ECP		□SM			
(Critical Infrastructure)		d Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	l Population				
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)	⊠FM (Facility M	lodernization)	□PAR (Public Access Recrea	ation)	□RC (Resource Conservation)			
Total Request (in thousands)		Phase(s) to be Fu	•		oject Cost (in thousands)			
\$ 172,809		PWCE	nacu	\$ 172,80	•			
Budget Request Summary		111102						
This project will renovate Sies after the relocation of all closs and open for instruction on a safety, critical building infrast hazardous material abatem building up to current fire/life and non-recurring renewal reproject will be used as surget the building to provide effect College of Social and Behave College of Humanities, and Approximately 25,000 GSF wat a later date by the univer Requires Legislation	assrooms April 1, 20 April 1,	to the Sierra Anney 224. The renovation Americans With Di general code conseismic, energy, arxcess of \$48.5 million the phased renogram adjacencies, ences department trategic university as tenant improve	c (now Maple Hall) a project will address sabilities Act (ADA) appliance of the fact and ADA standards. bon. Spaces vacated byvation in Serra Hall support student su all and faculty offici- priorities to promote	(#137), was deferred compliant compliant compliant compliant compliant compliant complete com	which was just completed and maintenance, fire/life nce, energy efficiency, will bring the 60-year-old ing has a 10-year recurring bult of the Sierra Annex ect will reorganize spaces in a davising, consolidate the eprograms within the and inclusion.			
☐ Yes ⊠ No	N/A		-		10461			
Requires Provisional Langua	ge		Budget Package	Status	<u> </u>			
☐ Yes ⊠ No	-			Not Nee	ded □ Existing			
Impact on Support Budget One-Time Costs	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No		Swing Space Nee Generate Surplus		□ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No			
If proposal affects another d Attach comments of affect	-							
Prepared By H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:57 PDT)	Date 8/30/202	24	Reviewed By J. Andersen	(Aug 27, 2024 17:35 PD	Date -8/30/2024			
Department Director P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Aug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT)	Date 8/30/202	24	Agency Secretary P. Gannoe	y Marona C. Nug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT	Date 8/30/2024			
		Department of F	inance Use Only		•			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Date submitted to the Legislature

Click or tap to enter a date.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Northridge – Sierra Hall Renovation – \$172,809,000 for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction. This project will renovate Sierra Hall (#6) (100,000 ASF/165,000 GSF). Total project costs are estimated at \$172,809,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$6,098,000), Working Drawings (\$6,603,000), and Construction (\$160,108,000). The construction amount includes \$134,090,400 for the construction contract, \$2,682,000 for contingency, \$10,843,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$21,394,000 for agency retained items, and \$3,800,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule estimates Preliminary Plans will begin in July 2025 and will be completed in January 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in January 2026 and will be approved in August 2026. Construction is scheduled to begin in February 2027 and will be completed in July 2031.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

The purpose of the Sierra Hall Renovation project is to bring the 60-year-old building, constructed in 1963, up to current fire/life safety, seismic, energy, and ADA codes, as well as to address hazardous material abatement, and general code compliance of the facility.

Sierra Hall is the largest classroom building at California State University, Northridge (CSUN) and is one of the oldest facilities on campus. The facility has not had a major renovation since its original construction in 1963. The building has a 10-year recurring and non-recurring renewal need in excess of \$48.5 million.

Based on the Facilities Condition Assessment report, Sierra Hall needs critical corrections in the following areas:

- All air handling units are beyond their service life and have 10-degree coils (left over from when the campus's central plant was a steam-driven plant). The existing mechanical design is inherently inefficient since the mechanical units are "apples" and "oranges" to the campus's current central plant engineering.
- The building electrical system is grossly inadequate and undersized.
- The exterior glazing is single pane, highly inefficient, and leaks.
- The entire concrete precast panel roof deck leaks and requires complete replacement.
- All lighting needs to be replaced with energy efficient light-emitting diode (LED) lighting and occupancy controls.
- The building lacks fire sprinklers.
- There is no elevator nor ADA path of travel from the East Lobby to the upper floors.
- Restrooms all require capital renewal and ADA upgrades.
- Internal stairwells exit to internal building circulation areas and rise/run lengths are not code compliant.
- The fire alarm system requires replacement.
- There is no ADA access from the south-west entrance to the building.

At the completion of the project, the building will be improved to a Rating Level IV seismic performance level.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

This project is in direct alignment with the CSU's Deferred Maintenance and Critical Infrastructure strategy, as well as the university's priorities for student success. The strategic facility plan aims to renovate the highest priority aging academic facilities, infrastructure, and deferred maintenance liabilities. Sierra Hall is the oldest, largest academic building on campus, the number one energy hog, and has the most deferred maintenance system issues. The project will modernize the building and allow the university to deliver and support a modern, high quality instructional program across all colleges with the highest degree of positive impact to students and the campus community.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

- **D.** Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)
 - 1) Alternative 1 is the project as described, the second phase of a two-phase renovation project. The first phase is constructing Sierra Annex as a classroom building and relocating Sierra Hall classroom functions to Sierra Annex, thus freeing up enough space to renovate Sierra Hall. Sierra Annex is funded as part of the 2019-2020 Capital Budget and the building was opened in April 2024. This Sierra Hall Renovation project is the second phase, renovating Sierra Hall after classroom functions have been relocated to Sierra Annex.
 - 2) The second alternative is to partially renovate Sierra Hall, funding only a portion of the requested work. This approach is not feasible, because the building systems, functions, and relationship to the feasible phases of work are intertwined. For example, the mechanical systems from one side of the building feed the alternate side of the building, as well as multiple floors. If, for instance, only half the total funding is provided, a decision on which systems, which scope of work, or which half of the building should be renovated with the partial funding would need to be considered. A partial renovation would result in much higher cost due to the complexity in phasing. This is not a feasible alternative because it interrupts teaching and learning and generates higher renovation costs.
 - 3) The third alternative is do nothing. This alternative would leave the building in the current state of obsolescence with code deficiencies. The campus initially identified this project in the capital plan over 15 years ago, and the cost of the project continues to rise each year due to escalation. This is not a viable long-term strategy as the building continues to degrade due to its age, and the cost to operate and maintain the facility continues to drain the campus's limited resources.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative No. 1 has been selected as being the most cost effective and least disruptive to the university operations and physical environment. This alternative will make it possible for the university to renovate Sierra Hall and will bring the 60-year-old building up to current fire/life safety, seismic, energy, and ADA standards.

2. Detailed scope description.

The Sierra Hall Renovation project is anticipated to address the complete capital renewal of the building. Key renewal goals include: replacement of the building mechanical system, duct work, electrical system, lighting, windows, insulation, roof deck, and concrete roof panels; Title 24 energy compliance; upgrade of fire/life safety systems, including the addition of a wet fire sprinkler system and addressing fire egress as related to the interior stairwells of the building; ADA accessibility to the East Lobby and North Lobby through the addition of an elevator, fixing interior ramped corridors, and restroom and plumbing renovations; and interior finish renewal. The building will require a minimum amount of structural reinforcing in order to provide additional openings as needed for the renovation.

The phasing for this project is complex as administration, student support, research, and laboratory work all need to remain in operation during the renovation. The spaces vacated by moving the classrooms to Sierra Annex allow for the renovation to occur. A seismic joint extends from north to south, dividing the building in half. The least costly and least disruptive plan is to renovate the east half of the building first, followed by the west half of the building. Work will be done primarily at night to avoid disturbing ongoing operations.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

3. Basis for cost information.

The cost estimate was developed from a baseline estimate from Steinberg Hart/Rider Levett Bucknall and CSUN in March 2022 and escalated to the 2025-2026 costs. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide and campus sources.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

This alternative provides the fastest and the least disruptive way to provide modern facilities to support the university's mission.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The renovation of Sierra Hall will decrease the support budget as it is currently the campus's highest energy user.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

There are no known risks except those for normal new construction.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect Plan Check Firm, CSU Seismic Review Board, Mechanical Systems Review, CSU Consulting Plan Check Firm, Los Angeles Fire Department – Fire Access Review, California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

This project is a renovation project which will use existing infrastructure.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes, this project is a renovation project, and it is not being constructed on open space.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

This is an infill project and will utilize existing infrastructure.

Project			c				MATE (Form CP		2-7)				Budget Year: 202	
				_	Project Schedul	le				ration			CCCI: 104	
~amn	٠.	CSU NORTHRIDGE			Project Started			@	Jul-25	150			EPI: 500	00
Campu Project		Sierra Hall Renovation			Schematics Appr		mpleted	@	Nov-25 Jan-26	150 60			New Const	Reno
TOJECE	•	Sierra Haii Renovation					ompleted		Aug-26	210	ASF			100,000
Arch/E	ngr:	: TBD					(NTP)	_	Feb-27	180	GSF			165,000
Contra	ctor:						eted (NOC)	_	Jul-31	1,600	Efficiency:	Ċ	0.00%	60.61%
Deliver		••		Т	•		n (Calendar Days	s)		2200				
Milesto				_	NEW CON	NST				OVATI			TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
	JILDI			•	STATE		CAMPUS		STATE		CAMPUS			
A1		Foundations		\$_					1,964,556	-		-		
A2	0 E	Basement Construction		\$_						_				
A		SUBSTRUCTURE		\$_	0	\$	0	\$		\$_	0	. \$	1,965,000	\$11.9
B1		Superstructure(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)		\$_					5,893,668	_		-		
B2		Exterior Enclosure		\$_					6,789,348	-		•		
B3 B	0 F	Roofing		\$_	0			•	1,157,116	_	0	. \$	42 040 000	\$83.88
C1	Λ I	SHELL		-		Þ	0	\$	13,840,000 774,085	»_	U	. э	13,840,000	\$03.00
C2		Stairways		φ-					1,334,689	-		•		
C3		Interior Finishes		\$_					10,287,105	-		•		
C		INTERIORS		\$-	0	\$		\$		\$	0	\$	12,396,000	\$75.13
D1	0 (Conveying Systems		\$					593,284					
D2	:0 F	Plumbing Systems.		\$					3,554,437					
D3		HVAC Systems		\$_					12,309,290	_				
D4		Fire Protection Systems		\$_					1,374,397	_				
D5		Electrical Systems		\$_					13,605,833	_		-		
	050	Telecom		\$_					4,729,327	_			00 407 000	0040.44
D E1	0 (BUILDING SERVICES		* -	0	\$	0	\$		٠,	0	. \$	36,167,000	\$219.19
E2		Furnishings (i.e.Group I casework)		φ̈-					2.091.090	-		•		
E		EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS		ς <u> </u>	0	\$		\$		s	0	\$	2,664,000	\$16.1
F1	0 5	Special Construction		\$-		۳		۳	1,455,179	*-		٠ ٠	2,004,000	ψ10.10
F2		Selective Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)		\$					1,718,863	-		•		
F2		Hazardous Material Removal		\$					1,796,638	-		•		
F5	0 5	Sustainable Building Measures		\$					5,729,543	_				
F		SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION		\$_	0	\$	0	\$	10,700,000	\$_	0	\$	10,700,000	\$64.8
F6	0	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - Building		\$					4,819,108	_			4,819,000	\$29.2
		L BUILDING		\$_	0	\$	0	\$	82,551,000	\$_	0		82,551,000	\$500.3
	020	1 2 1		\$_	0		0		1,946,440	_	0		_	
	8040	,		\$_					231,865	_		-	Bldg+GC+Ins	\$658
	2050	. 9		\$_					2,085,164	-				
G5		Sustainable Site Measures		\$_					229,182	-		-		
G9 G1		Other Site Construction		, -					3,850,889 825,501	-		•		
		General Requirements - Sitework		, - -	0	¢		¢	9,169,000	- و	0	٠ ,	9,169,000	\$55.5
		OTAL: BUILDING and SITEWORK		* -		4		\$	91,720,000	. -	0		91,720,000	φυυ.υ
		ation to midpoint of Construction		\$ -	0	\$		\$		\$ - \$	0		17,741,000	
		OTAL: BUILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION		\$	0	\$		\$		\$	0		109,461,000	\$663.40
6 . Z1		CM Overhead & Profit		\$	0	\$	0			\$	0		8,756,880	\$149.2
a	a. (CM Contingency		\$	0	\$	0	\$	1,095,000	\$	0	\$	1,095,000	
ŀ	o. (CM Construction Services (C)		\$	0	\$	0	\$	4,378,440	\$	0	\$	4,378,440	
(c. (General Condition		\$	0	\$	0	\$	9,304,185	\$	0	\$	9,304,185	
(d. (Contractor/Subcontractor Bonds		\$_	0	\$	0	\$	1,094,610	\$_	0	. \$	1,094,610	
		Not Applicable		\$_	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$_	0		0	
		Not Applicable		\$_	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$_	0		0	
		L GMP		\$_	0	\$	0	\$		\$_	0	. \$	134,090,000	\$812.6
		& CONTINGENCY (Basic Services)						\$	7,249,000	٠,-	CAMPUS 0	-		
		A/E Services During Construction.						\$	3,594,000	š-	0	-		
(Project Management and Administration						\$	9,507,000	\$	0			
(Campus Project Contingency						\$	2,682,000	\$	0		\$2,682,000	
		Total Fees & Contingency						\$	23,032,000	\$_	0		23,032,000	
		OTAL: CONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)							157,122,000 0	\$_	0		157,122,000	
		On-Site/Off-Site Mitigationred Additional Services During PW Phase								š-	0			
		red Additional Services During Construction								\$ - \$	0			
a		Builders Risk Insurance Premium/ Seismic Fund							1,718,000	\$	0			
		Owner Controlled Insurance Premium								\$_	0			
		OTAL: PROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment								\$_	0		169,009,000	\$1,024.30
		II Equipment						\$ \$		<u>\$</u> -	0	-	172 000 000	\$1,047.33
		L: PROJECT COST incl. Group II Equipmentt Funds						ф	172,809,000	»_	U	. Ф	172,809,000	\$1,047.3
		Campus Designated Reserves								\$	16,501,000	PW	/E	
		Systemwide Revenue Bond								\$	156,308,000			
		State Appropriation								\$				
c	i. [Donor / Auxiliary / Other Funds								\$				
		ional Funds Required (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)								\$_	0	•		
18. P		ect Fund Schedule		_	State			_	Campus					
		Received prior to 2025/26		\$_	156 200 000			\$			State	_	Campus	
		Requested for 2025/26 Requested after 2025/26		\$_ \$	156,308,000			\$	16,501,000			P W	6,098,000 P 6,603,000 W	
		المناه		Ψ_				Ψ			156,308,000		0,003,000 W	
	-	Hong Lin Avg 37, 2024 15:57 DDT)								-	156,308,000	٠.	12,701,000	
		Paul Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor										Е	3,800,000 E	
	1	The California State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction												

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	Unit	Department		Priority No.	Priority No.		
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap here to enter text.			
Budget Request Name		Capital Outlay Pr	Capital	l Outlay Projec	t ID			
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	nter text.	Click or to	ap here to enter	text.		
Project Title Sacramento – Engineerin	g Replac	cement Building						
Project Status and Type Status: ⊠ New □ Conti	nuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Mino	or			
Project Category (Select on	e)							
□CRI	□WSD		□ECP	Danadadia	□SM (Saissaia)			
(Critical Infrastructure)			(Enrollment Caseload	Population				
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)			□PAR (Public Access Recrea	ation)	□RC (Resource)	Conservation)		
Total Request (in thousands		Phase(s) to be Fu	•		oject Cost (in	<u> </u>		
\$ 161,063	•	PWCE		\$ 161,0	_	,		
Budget Request Summary				<u> </u>				
research labs, faculty office Science, which will allow stu to procure career-ready gra instructional support space, science. The new replacem laboratory, 105 FTE in upper division laboratory, 57 FTE in	idents to laduates. I graduates ent buildi division la	nave access to the n addition, this pro e research space, ng will provide 141 aboratory) and 21	e latest resources a ject will address the and faculty office f Full-time Equivaler faculty offices. The	nd achie e space or engine at (FTE) (3 net incre	eve the colleg needs in instru eering and co 86 FTE in lower	e's overall goal actional and amputer division		
Requires Legislation	Code Se	ection(s) to be Add	led/Amended/Rep	ealed	CCCI			
☐ Yes ⊠ No		p here to enter text.	, , ,		10461			
Requires Provisional Langua		Budget Package □ Needed □	Status Not Nee	eded 🗆 Exis	ed 🗆 Existing			
Impact on Support Budget								
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	⊠ No		Swing Space Nee	eded	□ Yes	⊠ No		
Future Savings Yes	ure Savings \square Yes \boxtimes No		Generate Surplus	Property	/ □ Yes	⊠ No		
Future Costs Yes	□ No							
If proposal affects another of Attach comments of affects	-	•				□ No esignee.		
Prepared By H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 21, 2024 08:35 PDT)	Date 8/30/2024		Reviewed By J. Andersen_Jack Anders	ed E Opd (Aug 21, 2024 10:22 PD	Date 8/30/2024			
P. Gannoe Rall Gannoe (Aug 22, 2024 17:10 PDT)	Date 8/30/2024		Agency Secretary P. Gannoe		Date 8/30/2024			
Principal Program Budget A Click or tap here to enter text.	nalyst	Department of F	inance Use Only Date submitted to Click or tap to enter o		islature			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Sacramento – Engineering Replacement Building – \$161,063,000 for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction. This project will construct an Engineering replacement building (#105) to replace Santa Clara Hall (#14) to support the College of Engineering and Computer Science. Total project costs are estimated at \$161,063,000 including Preliminary Plans (\$5,419,000), Working Drawings (\$9,216,000), and Construction (\$146,428,000). The construction amount includes \$118,985,000 for the construction contract, \$2,448,000 for contingency, \$8,592,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$18,009,000 for agency retained items, and \$13,029,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule estimates Preliminary Plans will begin in July 2025 and will be completed in January 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in January 2026 and will be approved in September 2026. Construction is scheduled to begin in March 2027 and will be completed in September 2028.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

The purpose of the project is to address a deficit in engineering and computer science lab space, and to address life safety, deferred maintenance, building infrastructure, Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance, energy efficiency, and general code compliance in Santa Clara Hall.

Santa Clara Hall (#14), the existing engineering laboratory building, was constructed more than 60 years ago. Its primary building system components, including HVAC, electrical and telecommunications, have exceeded their useful life. A recent facilities condition assessment concluded that 10-year recurring and non-recurring renewal need for Santa Clara Hall is in excess of \$24 million. The cost to relocate building occupants and extensively remodel the buildings is estimated to exceed the building replacement costs.

The existing facilities at Santa Clara Hall do not adequately support the current or planned activities of the College of Engineering and Computer Science. Santa Clara Hall's existing labs are in poor condition and no longer meet current energy, safety, or accessibility codes. The labs lack appropriate ventilation and required safety equipment. Additionally, the cabinets and countertops have deteriorated significantly. The design of these facilities is also unsuitable to support advances in instructional technology that have occurred since they were constructed. The following pictures show the existing conditions of the Santa Clara Hall.







DF-151 (REV 07/21)







This project will address the space deficits in instructional and instructional support space, graduate research space, and faculty office for engineering and computer science. The college has grown since the building was constructed. Based on CSU space standards and the approved enrollment projection for 2029-2030, existing computer science and engineering teaching labs can only accommodate 70% and 84% of student enrollment, respectively. The College of Engineering and Computer science is entitled to an additional 20,000 GSF of teaching labs, instructional activities space, and graduate student research space. Additionally, California State University, Sacramento (Sacramento State) is short of faculty offices. The university is allowed to add 410 faculty offices for 2029-2030.

The Engineering replacement building will include modern teaching and research facilities, and lab support spaces to support the current technological demands of the departments within the College of Engineering and Computer Science. It will help achieve the college's overall goal to procure career-ready graduates. The new building will open pedestrian circulation at the University Union to the new science building per the campus Master Plan.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

The project meets Goal 4: Excel as a Place to Learn, Work, Live, and Visit of the campus's 2015 Strategic Plan by "improving physical and virtual infrastructures to align with student learning and success goals". The strategic plan emphasizes the use of technology to enhance and extend teaching efforts. The project also meets the college's goal to provide resources for outstanding teaching and scholarship.

- **D. Alternatives:** (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)
 - 1. Do Nothing:

Maintain existing programs in Santa Clara Hall (#14). Maintenance costs will increase as the building and equipment ages. It would not provide the needed space to accommodate the college's growth. It would also not provide a pedestrian circulation path to the new science complex per the Master Plan.

2. Renovate Santa Clara Hall:

Renovate existing lab space to improve teaching conditions. This option will not provide any additional lab or office space for the university and require surge space during renovation. It would not provide a pedestrian circulation path to the new science complex per the Master Plan.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

3. Construct New Engineering and Classroom Building:

This option will construct a new 82,340 ASF/110,000 GSF building to replace 46,383 ASF/66,391 GSF Santa Clara Hall. The new building will provide 1,280 FTE lecture, up-to-date teaching labs, research labs, faculty offices, and instructional support space for Civil Engineering, Computer Engineering, Computer Science, Construction Management, Electrical & Electronic Engineering, and Mechanical Engineering. The anticipated cost for this option is about \$190,000,000.

4. Construct New Engineering Replacement Building:

This option will construct a new 70,580 ASF/100,000 GSF replacement building to replace 46,383 ASF/66,391 GSF Santa Clara Hall. This project will construct teaching labs, research labs, faculty offices, and instructional support space for the College of Engineering and Computer Science, which will allow students to have access to the latest resources and achieve the college's overall goal to procure career-ready graduates. The anticipated cost for this option is \$161,063,000.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 4 is the recommended solution. The replacement building will address life safety, deferred maintenance, building infrastructure, ADA compliance, energy efficiency, and general code compliance in Santa Clara Hall. The building will also provide right-size instructional, graduate research and faculty office space to accommodate the growth for College of Engineering and Computer Science. The new building would also be more energy efficient and take up less land. It will provide the pedestrian circulation path per the Master Plan to the new Science Complex and the expanded University Union. Option 3 includes new lecture classrooms and has a higher project budget. The university can eliminate building new lecture classrooms by increasing existing lecture utilization.

2. Detailed scope description.

The existing 1960 one-story engineer building, Santa Clara Hall (#14) will be demolished and replaced by a new three-story Type I or II engineering replacement building (100,000 GSF).

The majority of Santa Clara Hall will be demolished initially to make room for the new replacement building. Demolition is consistent with the Campus Master Plan. A portion of Santa Clara Hall can be left in place during the new replacement building construction to minimize the need to construct temporary swing space for Mechanical Engineering and Civil Engineering. Swing space for other labs and offices can be found on campus or a small temporary building could be constructed on the Ramona property the university owns. A new three-story, Type I or II, replacement building will be constructed on the site with an outdoor space facing Riverside Hall, the other building on campus for the Engineering programs. Once the new replacement building is complete, the remaining wing of Santa Clara Hall will be demolished, opening up the pedestrian way serving the new Science Complex and the expanded University Union.

The new replacement building will provide teaching labs, research labs, faculty offices, and instructional support space for the College of Engineering and Computer Science. The new building will feature modular laboratory space with collaborative spaces and faculty offices. The new replacement building will provide 141 FTE (36 FTE in lower division laboratory, 105 FTE in upper division laboratory) and 21 faculty offices. The net increase is 83 FTE (26 FTE in lower division laboratory, 57 FTE in upper division laboratory) and 21 faculty offices.

3. Basis for cost information.

Cost information was developed through DLR Feasibility Study in 2017 and escalated to 2025-2026 costs. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide and campus sources.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

This project will provide up-to-date learning and research space for the College of Engineering and Computer Science. Modular labs would allow for flexibility and adaptability. Maintaining the existing labs in Santa Clara Hall would be expensive and it will be costly to renovate the labs to modern standards. While renovation can be phased, it would cause significant disruptions to relocate occupants and provide alternate accommodations for laboratory space.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

Demolition of the north and west wings of Santa Clara Hall may require temporary space to house displaced programs. The project is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$2,379,000.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

Project risks include unknown underground utilities and unknown utilities or hazardous materials within Santa Clara Hall during demolition.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

State Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect, Plan Check Firm, CSU Seismic Review Board, CSU Mechanical Review Board, and CSU Office of Fire Safety.

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes. This project will be located on the site of an existing facility that is in need of replacement.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes. This project will be constructed on existing developed land, and the demolition of Santa Clara Hall will provide more area for landscaping.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

This project will take advantage of existing utility infrastructure. The multi-story new building will use less land and be more energy efficient than the single-story Santa Clara Hall.

CPDC Proj No: Project Type:	MAJOR	THE CALIFORNIA ST		OC 2-7)	a t Engine	Budget Year:	
		Project Schedule			ation	CCCI: 7	
Compue	CSU SACRAMENTO	Project Started Schematics Approva		_	150	EPI: 3	5000
Campus: Project:		Preliminary Plans Co	` '	@ Nov-25 @ Jan-26	60	New Const	Reno
Project.	Engineering Replacement Building	Working Drawings C			240 Net Area	70,580	Kello
Arch/Engr:	TBD	Construction Started			180 Gross Area	100,000	
Contractor:	TBD	Construction Compl			540 Efficiency:	70.58%	#DIV/0!
Delivery Type:	COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD	Total Project Duration			1170	70.0070	#B1470.
Phase:	BUDGET @ COBCP/AMEND	NEW CONST		,	OVATION	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
BUILDING	BODGET @ GODGT /AMERICA	STATE	CAMPUS	STATE	CAMPUS	TOTAL	ψ/ση.ιτ.
	ndations			*			
		·					
	ement Construction				 		
	JBSTRUCTURE		50	\$0	\$0	\$ 1,035,000	\$10.35
B10 Sup	erstructure(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)	\$ 17,816,545					
B20 Exte	rior Enclosure	\$12,935,690					
B30 Roo	fing	\$1,251,807					
B SH	łELL	\$ 32,004,000 \$	<u> </u>	\$0	\$0	\$32,004,000	\$320.04
C10 Inter	ior Construction	\$ 7,319,274					
C20 Stair	ways	\$					
C30 Inter	ior Finishes	\$ 3,065,493					
C IN	TERIORS	\$ 10,385,000	5 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$10,385,000	\$103.85
D10 Con	veying Systems	\$ 338,326					
D20 Plun	nbing Systems	\$ 5,094,640					
	C Systems						
D40 Fire	Protection Systems	\$ 1,207,037					
	trical Systems						
	ecom						
D BU	JILDING SERVICES	\$ 24,493,000 \$	<u> </u>	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 24,493,000	\$244.93
E10 Grou	up I Equipment	\$ 10,910,367					
E20 Furn	iishings (i.e.Group I casework)	\$					
E EC	QUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS	\$ 10,910,000 \$		\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 10,910,000	\$109.10
	cial Construction			· 	· —	·	-
•	ctive Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)						
	ardous Material Removal						
	tainable Building Measures	·					
	PECIAL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION			\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0.00
	ENERAL REQUIREMENTS - Building		, <u> </u>	<u> </u>	·	* — 0	\$0.00
	ILDING			\$ 0	\$ 0		\$788.27
G1020	Site Prep & Site Improvements		,	Ψ	0	¥ 70,027,000	ψ100.21
G3040	Site Utilities (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)					Bldg+GC+Ins	\$957
G2050						Blug+GC+IIIs	φ957
	Landscape Budget						
G50	Sustainable Site Measures						
G90	Other Site Construction	\$ 5,352,851					
G100	General Requirements - Sitework						****
	EWORK		0	\$0	\$0	\$ 11,084,000	\$110.84
	L: BUILDING and SITEWORK		0	\$0	\$0	\$ 89,911,000	
	to midpoint of Construction	· · · - · · · · · · · ·		\$0	\$0	\$ 11,180,000	
	L: BUILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION		0	\$0	\$0	\$ 101,091,000	\$1,010.91
	ign Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$ 2,830,000		\$0	\$0	\$ 2,830,000	\$162.75
	construction Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$ 606,000		\$0	\$0	\$ 606,000	
	Management during Construction	\$ 5,459,000	<u> </u>	\$0	\$0	\$ 5,459,000	
	Payment and Peformance Bonds	\$ 910,000		\$0	\$0	\$ 910,000	
	contractor Payment and Performance Bonds	\$ 910,000		\$0	\$0	\$ 910,000	
	struction Phase OH&P	\$5,560,000_		\$0	\$0	\$ 5,560,000	
	Contingency	\$\$,055,000	30	\$0	\$0	\$ 5,055,000	
	IP	\$ <u>122,421,000</u> \$	50	\$0	\$0	\$ 122,421,000	\$1,224.21
	ONTINGENCY (Basic Services)			STATE	CAMPUS		
	ign Services For Design Phase (Phase 1)			\$ 4,246,000	\$0		
	construction Services For Design Phase (Phase 1)ect Management and Administration			\$ 910,000 \$ 8,611,000	\$ <u>0</u>		
	pus Project Contingency			\$ 2,448,000	\$ 0		
	al Fees & Contingency			\$ 16,215,000	\$ 0	\$ 16,215,000	
	L: CONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)			\$ 138,636,000	\$ 0	\$ 138,636,000	
	Site/Off-Site Mitigation				\$ 0	,,	
	dditional Services During PW Phase			\$ 2,043,000	\$ 4,000,000		
	dditional Services During Construction				\$ 0		
	ders Risk Insurance Premium/ Seismic Fund			\$ 594,000	\$ 0		
b. Own	er Controlled Insurance Premium			\$ 2,426,000	\$ 0		
13. SUBTOTAL	L: PROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment			\$ 144,034,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 148,034,000	\$1,480.34
	uipment				\$ 0		
15. TOTAL: PF	ROJECT COST incl. Group II Equipment			\$ 157,063,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 161,063,000	\$1,610.63
16. Project Fun							
	npus Designated Reserves				\$ 9,635,000		
•	remwide Revenue Bond				\$ 151,428,000	WCE	
	e Appropriation				\$		
	or / Auxiliary / Other Funds				\$		
	Funds Required (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)				\$ <u>0</u>		4,216,000
18. Project Fu		State		Campus		_	
	eived prior to 2025/26	·		\$	State	Campus	_
	uested for 2025/26	·		\$ 9,635,000	= 000 5	5,419,000	
Req	uested after 2025/26	\$		Φ	5,000,000		N
	l former.				133,399,000 138,399,000	C0 9,635,000	,
Paul Ganni	l Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor	_			13,029,000		=
	California State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction				10,020,000	_ 01	= 161.063.000

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business Unit	Department	F	Priority No.
2025-2026	6610	California State University	1	
Budget Request Name	Capital Outlay P	rogram ID	Capital	Outlay Project ID
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to e	enter text.	Click or ta	p here to enter text.
Project Title San Diego – Life Sciences	Building, Phase I			
Project Status and Type				
Status: ⊠ New □ Contin	nuing	Type: ⊠Major	☐ Minor	
Project Category (Select one)			
□CRI	□WSD	□ECP		□SM
(Critical Infrastructure)	(Workload Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseload	Population)	(Seismic)
□FLS	⊠FM	□PAR		□RC
(Fire Life Safety)	(Facility Modernization)	(Public Access Recre	ation)	(Resource Conservation)
Total Request (in thousands)	Phase(s) to be Fu	unded	Total Pro	ject Cost (in thousands)
\$ 157,800	PWCE		\$ 157,800	0
Budget Request Summary			1	

This project will replace the Life Science North (LSN) building (#35), a 77,000 assignable square foot (ASF)/ 132,000 gross square foot (GSF) building constructed in 1962, containing lecture space, teaching and research labs, animal quarters, faculty offices that house a significant portion of the campus's funded research. The existing building has a 10-year renewal need in excess of \$100 million. Most spaces have seen only minimal renovation in the nearly six decades of operation of the building, and building systems are struggling to support the activities happening within. This project, along with other future renovation projects for Psychology and the Vivarium, will provide Full-time Equivalent (FTE) equal to the LSN building it replaces, which is 540 FTE (343 FTE in lecture, 163 FTE in lower division and 34 FTE in upper division laboratory), and will be run efficiently at 39,300 ASF/79,700 GSF. This new facility will focus on space for the Biology Department, with the vivarium staying in its current location in the existing LSN building (#35), and the Psychology department's new location to be determined.

Analysis of the existing conditions in the LSN building determined that components of the Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) system have aged beyond their useful life and that the system is inefficient compared to modern systems. Fume hoods and associated mechanical system components are also beyond their useful life cycles. The main service transformer and much of the electrical distribution network is also aged and deteriorated. The building was constructed under a much older life safety code, so a major renovation would require upgrading all the doors at the corridors as well as the alarm system. The building's restrooms are aged and deteriorating and only a few are partially accessible to people with disabilities. Based on a cost comparison evaluation, the renovation and temporary relocation costs for renewal and code compliance updates of the existing building exceeded the cost for a replacement facility with equivalent academic program and FTE.

This project will also include upgrading the infrastructure and building systems in the existing LSN building once the new Life Sciences building is completed. These upgrades will prepare the existing LSN building for future renovation.

Requires Le	gislation	Code Section(s) to be Add	ed/Amended/R	Repealed	CCCI
□ Yes	⊠ No	Click or tap here to enter text.			10461
Requires Pr	ovisional Langua	ge	Budget Packa	ge Status	
□ Yes	⊠ No		□ Needed	⊠ Not Neede	ed □ Existing

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

One-Time Costs Future Savings Future Costs	□ Yes □ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No □ No	Swing Space Needed Generate Surplus Property	□ Yes □ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No					
	trach comments of affected department, signed and dated by the department director or designee.									
		Date 8/30/2024	J. Andersen Paul Gannoe (Aug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT)	Date - 8/30/2024						
		Date - 8/30/2024	P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Aug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT)	Date -8/30/2024						
Future Savings										
		nalyst								

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COBCP - Narrative DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

San Diego – Life Sciences Building, Phase 1 – \$157,800,000 for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction. This project will construct a new 39,300 ASF/79,700 GSF Life Sciences building and upgrade the infrastructure and building systems in the existing Life Science North building once the new Life Sciences building is completed.

Total project costs are estimated at \$157,800,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$7,513,000), Working Drawings (\$5,918,000), and Construction (\$144,369,000). The construction amount includes \$116,448,000 for the construction contract, \$13,252,000 for contingency, \$10,679,000 for architectural and engineering services and \$17,421,000 for agency retained items. The current project schedule outlines Preliminary Plans, which began in October 2023 and will be completed in December 2024. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in December 2024 and will be approved in January 2025. Construction is scheduled to begin in January 2025 and will be completed in April 2027.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

Analysis of the existing conditions in the LSN (#35) building determined that components of the HVAC system have aged beyond their useful life and that the system is inefficient compared to modern systems. Fume hoods and associated mechanical system components are also beyond their useful life cycles. The main service transformer and much of the electrical distribution network is also aged and deteriorated. The building was constructed under a much earlier life safety code, so a major renovation would require upgrading all the doors at the corridors as well as the fire alarm system. The building's restrooms are aged and deteriorating and only a few are partially accessible to people with disabilities. Based on a cost comparison evaluation, the renovation and temporary relocation costs for renewal and code compliance updates of the existing building exceeded the cost for a replacement facility with an enhanced academic research program.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

This project will support the strategic goals of enhancing student success by improving the teaching and research facilities for the College of Sciences and, along with other future renovation projects for Psychology and the Vivarium, will provide equivalent campuswide classroom stations and conveyance systems to improve mobility and achieve universal access. This project will also support San Diego State University's (SDSU) strategic plan to increase research productivity and become an R1 doctoral university.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternatives considered include: (1) relocation of occupants, renovation of the entire existing lab facility to correct deferred maintenance, accessibility, fire/life safety, and code compliance deficiencies; (2) a phased floor-by-floor relocation/renovation; (3) construction of a smaller lab building for Biology and partially renovate the remaining LSN building for Psychology; and (4) construct an equivalent replacement facility at 80% of the original program in close proximity to the sciences complex and decommission the old facility.

A feasibility study of the project alternatives for renewal versus replacement completed in early 2018 identified over \$100 million of needed investment in deferred maintenance and costs to bring the existing building's systems and components up to reliable condition and compliance with current building and access codes. Temporary relocation costs required for the duration of the renovation of the existing facility are estimated at an additional \$50-\$60 million, based on recent project experience.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The feasibility study identifies a reasonable alternative cost to replace a portion of the building and furnishings, at approximately \$150 million at California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) 10461, Equipment Price Index (EPI) 5000.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

The recommended alternative is to replace a portion of the existing functions within the 1962 Life Science North facility into a new, more efficient building incorporating enhanced academic research and lab space. The new building will incorporate state-of-the-art energy saving ventilation, lighting, and fume hood control systems, and utilize site-specific building orientation and conveyance systems to improve mobility and achieve universal access. The existing building will be utilized until the new facility is completed, however, under this alternative, the existing building will be decommissioned and service utilities sealed off to all spaces except the existing vivarium, which serves adjacent buildings, until a future repurposing of the building is funded.

By comparison, renovating the existing building presents several constructability challenges. Vacating the entire building would require renovation or leasing of swing space, which based on costs for a recent project, could be as high as \$50–\$60 million. Campus experience has proven that even at a ratio of only 60–80% of the existing GSF, adequate swing space does not exist on campus. Phasing the project (for example renovating by floor) would create significant disruptions for those remaining in the building due to noise, ventilation, and utility outages, endangering the continuity and funding for research projects, extending the renovation timeframe, and further increasing the project cost due to escalation.

2. Detailed scope description.

This project will construct a 39,300 ASF/79,700 GSF Lab/Research building (#119) to support the campus graduate research programs. The project, along with other future renovation projects for Psychology and the Vivarium, will replace the existing 1962 Life Science North facility (#35), a 77,000 ASF/132,000 GSF building, with a new building incorporating improved efficiency, energy saving ventilation, lighting, and fume hood control systems, and utilizing site specific building orientation and conveyance systems to improve mobility and achieve universal access. The LSN building will be decommissioned and secured except for a recently renovated (1,200 ASF) Vivarium. Existing FTE will be relocated to either the new facility or other future renovation projects for Psychology and the Vivarium, providing space for the existing 540 FTE (163 FTE in lower division teaching labs, 34 FTE in upper division teaching labs and 343 FTE of interdisciplinary lecture) and 74 faculty offices. The replacement building will be 56% efficient and have space assigned to the College of Sciences, the Biology department, and various student and research groups. The program will include administrative offices, computer labs, special instruction space, research and research services space, conference rooms, mechanical, electrical, and telecom support spaces. The facility will be located on a vacant site north of the Chemical Sciences Laboratory (#60) building and will take advantage of a significant elevation change across the proposed site to provide access from both Aztec Circle and Canyon Crest Drives.

Because SDSU is not currently entitled to additional instructional space, the building will replace instructional space, as well as research and support spaces, from the existing LSN building in the new building. Additional FTE will not be accommodated in the new building as compared to the existing building. The existing building is primarily research-focused, and the same focus will carry over to the new building, supporting the university's strategic goal of becoming an R1 research institution.

3. Basis for cost information.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COBCP - Narrative DF-151 (REV 07/21)

The cost information is based on a cost estimate compiled by O'Connor Construction Management at CCCI 10461 and EPI 5000.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

In addition to being the lowest cost solution, the partial replacement alternative was determined the best solution for the following reasons: improved building energy efficiency; proximity to other existing College of Sciences buildings; avoidance of disruption to the ongoing sciences curriculum and research operations during construction; avoidance of costs of demolition and swing space; enhancement of universal access to the facility and surrounding campus; and delivery of a superior science environment for less than the cost of a full renovation on a site that supports the full program and offers high visibility and donor potential. The project will have a reduced carbon footprint with a minimum Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver rating and will reduce annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 249 metric tons of carbon dioxide (CO2).

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The project is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$1,896,000. Newer mechanical systems and more efficient light and plumbing fixtures should reduce utility costs. Temporary surge space will not be required for the replacement alternative.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

This project poses no project risks beyond those normally associated with general construction of institutional construction projects.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

The project will require review by the State Fire Marshall, the CSU Seismic and Mechanical Review Boards, and Division of State Architect (DSA), as well as plan check consultants.

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

The project supports infill development as it is located in the existing campus core which is served by all needed infrastructure and utilities.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

The project is located in a developed area near the existing campus core. The project will at a minimum achieve a LEED Silver rating and will reduce annual GHG emissions by 249 metric tons of CO2.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes, the project will maintain density in an already developed area of campus and will include improvements to pedestrian connectivity.

Project Type				AL OUTLAY ES				7)			Budget Year:	2025/26
			<u> </u>	Project Schedul		•		Dura	tion		CCCI:	10461
				Project Started			@	Oct-23			EPI:	5000
Campus:		O STATE UNIVERSITY		Schematics Appr	,	,	@		420			
Project:	Life Scienc	es Building, Phase 1		Preliminary Plans			<u>@</u> _	Dec-24	15	405	New Const	Reno
Arch/Engr	SmithGroup			Norking Drawing			_	Jan-25	30 1	ASF GSF	39,300	77,000
Arch/Engr:				Construction Sta	,		_	Jan-25 Apr-27	840		79,700 49.31%	132,000 58.33%
Contractor Delivery Ty		COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD		Construction Cor Fotal Project Dur			_		306	Efficiency:	49.31%	38.33%
Milestone:	/pe.	SCHEMATIC	'	NEW CON	,	•	')	RENO		ON.	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
BUILD	ING	SCHEMATIC	-	STATE		CAMPUS	_	STATE	VAIN	CAMPUS	TOTAL	φ/54.π.
			\$	1,588,847		OZAMI OO		OTATE		OAIIII OO		
			· -	1,300,047	_		_		-			
		uction	_		. —		. —					
A		RE	_	1,589,000	\$	0	\$_	0	\$_	0	\$1,589,000	\$7.51
		rtical, Floor, & Roof)	_	9,426,451			_		_			
B20			_	9,035,205			_		_			
	•		_	1,731,730	. —		. —					
В			_	20,193,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$ 20,193,000	\$95.38
		on	_	5,120,860	_		_		-			
	•		_	1,148,950			_		_			
				3,790,945	_		_		<u>.</u> –		£ 40.004.000	£47.50
C D10			_	10,061,000	» <u>—</u>	0	\$	0	»_	0	\$ 10,061,000	\$47.52
		IS	_	1,195,000	_		_		-	711 000		
			_	6,070,632	_		_		-	711,000		
			_	9,780,117	_		_		-	4,187,000		
		stems	_	637,839	_		_		-	1,185,000		
	•		_	9,647,409			_		-	1,106,000 711.000		
D5050		VICES	_	2,662,833 29,994,000	•	0	\$	0	e -	7,900,000	\$ 37,894,000	\$179.00
		t	-	7,774,900	Ψ		۳_		Ψ_	7,900,000	φ <u> 37,034,000</u>	φ179.00
		oup I casework)	_	468,550	_		_		-			
E	• (ND FURNISHINGS	· -	8,243,000	_	0	\$	0	e -	0	\$ 8,243,000	\$38.94
		on		60,000	° —		۰,		Ψ-		9 0,243,000	φ30.94
F20		on (Excluding hazmat removal)	_	00,000	_		_		-			
		Il Removal	_		_		_		-			
		ng Measures	_		_		_		-			
F		STRUCTION & DEMOLITION	_	60,000	s —	0	\$	0	s -	0	\$ 60,000	\$0.28
F60		UIREMENTS - Building	_	4,313,750	* —		*-		Ψ-		4,314,000	\$20.38
		SILEMENTO - Building	_	74,454,000	\$		\$	0	s -	7,900,000	\$ 82,354,000	\$389.01
G1020		Site Improvements	-	5,166,350	Ψ		т—	0	Ψ-	0	02,004,000	ψοσσ.σ τ
G3040		(Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)	_	3,326,271	_		_		-		Bldg+GC+Ins	\$1,225
G2050		Budget	_	3,969,490	_		_	_	-		Diag* CO* iiio	Ψ.,220
G50		Site Measures	_	5,555,555	_		_		-			
G90		Construction	s -		_		_		-			
G100		quirements - Sitework	\$ -		_		_		-			
		1	_	12,462,000	s —		s —	0	\$	0	\$ 12,462,000	\$58.87
		and SITEWORK	· —	86,916,000	\$	0	· —	0	\$		\$ 94,816,000	,
		Construction		2,000,000	\$ 	0	· —	0	\$		\$ 2,270,000	
		SITEWORK AND ESCALATION	_	88,916,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$		\$ 97,086,000	\$458.60
		or Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$	3,235,200	\$	0	\$	0	\$		\$ 3,617,630	\$89.25
		ervices For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$	572,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	81,830	\$ 653,830	
b.	Site Management	during Construction	\$	6,986,257	\$	0	\$	0	\$	317,000	\$ 7,303,257	
C.	DB Payment and F	Peformance Bonds	\$	590,955	\$	0	\$ _	0	\$	53,000	\$ 643,955	
d.	Subcontractor Pay	ment and Performance Bonds	\$	1,227,368	\$	0	\$	0	\$	53,000	\$ 1,280,368	
e.	Construction Phas	e OH&P	\$	5,073,120	\$	0	\$	0	\$	322,000	\$ 5,395,120	
f.	DB Contingency		\$	4,446,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	293,000	\$ 4,739,000	
7. TOTA	L GMP		\$	111,047,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	9,672,000	\$ 120,719,000	\$570.24
		(Basic Services)						New		Renovation		
		or Design Phase (Phase 1)					\$	4,852,800	\$	572,810		
		ervices For Design Phase (Phase 1)					\$_	858,000	\$_	123,580		
	, ,	ent and Administrationontingency					<u>*</u> —	7,827,000 12,238,000	» –	1,014,200	¢ 13 252 200	0.104859388
		tingency					<u>\$</u> —	25,776,000	ς°-	2,393,000	\$ 28,169,000	0.104039300
		CTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)					<u> </u>	136,823,000	\$-		\$ 148,888,000	
		itigation					<u>*</u> —	0	\$-	0	*	
		ces During PW Phase						2,573,000	\$	180,000		
12. Requir	ed Additional Servi	ces During Construction					\$	2,696,000	\$	291,000		
		rance Premium/ Seismic Fund					\$	772,000	\$	70,000		
		Insurance Premium						2,136,000	\$_	194,000		4745.00
		COST excl. Group II Equipment					_	145,000,000	\$ -	12,800,000	\$ 157,800,000	\$745.39
		Tincl Group II Equipment					* *	145,000,000	φ-		\$ 157,800,000	\$745.39
16. Projec		T incl. Group II Equipment					Ψ	140,000,000	Φ_	12,000,000	\$ 157,800,000	φ140.39
		ed Reserves							\$	77,800,000 P	wc	
		nue Bond							\$	80,000,000 C		
	•	1							\$-	,		
		Other Funds							\$			
	,	ed (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)							\$-	0		
	ct Fund Schedule	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*******	State		*******		Campus	´-	-		
	Received prior to	2025/26	\$_				\$			State	Campus	
	Requested for	2025/26	\$_				\$	77,800,000		0 P	7,513,000	
	Requested after	2025/26	\$_	80,000,000			\$			0 W		
	سندار								_	80,000,000 C		С
	Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 1	S.S.7 DOT)								80,000,000	77,800,000	-
		istant Vice Chancellor le University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction								0 E	0	E
	THE CAMOTHA Stat	.o omitorony, oapitar i iarining, Design and Constituction										

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	Unit	Department		Priority No.		
2025-2026	6610		California State University	(Click or tap he	re to enter text.	
Budget Request Name		Capital Outlay Pr	ogram ID	Capital	Outlay Projec	ct ID	
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	nter text.	Click or to	p here to enter	text.	
Project Title San Marcos – Integrated Sci	iences an	nd Engineering Build	ding				
Project Status and Type Status: □ New ⊠ Conti	nuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Minor			
	-						
_	-	N Space Deficiencies		Population	-		
·		space Deliciericles)	•	Торошног	,		
(Fire Life Safety)		odernization)		ation)		Conservation)	
)	1	nded				
California State University Click or tap here to enter text							
which identified strong proje engineers. This project will p laboratory, 151 FTE in upper computer science, comput	ected occ rovide 55 division lo er engine	cupational deman 5 Full-time Equivale aboratory), 38 facu ering, software en	d for computer scient (FTE) (363 FTE in last) lity offices, and studgineering, and elec	ence and ecture, 4 dent resect trical enc	l math worke 1 FTE in lower arch laborato	rs and division ories for	
Requires Legislation		• •	led/Amended/Rep	ealed	CCCI		
□ Yes ⊠ No	Click or ta	p here to enter text.			10461		
_	ge		-		ded □ Exis	ting	
Impact on Support Budget							
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	⊠ No		Swing Space Nee	ded	□ Yes	⊠ No	
G			Generate Surplus	Property	□ Yes	⊠ No	
	-						
H Lin		24		g-noL ug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT)			
		24					
Principal Program Budget A Click or tap here to enter text.	nalyst	Department of F	inance Use Only Date submitted to Click or tap to enter a		slature		

COBCP Abstract:

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Α.

San Marcos – Integrated Sciences and Engineering Building – \$114,217,000 for Construction.

This project will construct a new 46,262 ASF/70,649 GSF Integrated Sciences and Engineering building (#36) to support the university's three new engineering programs. Total project costs are estimated at \$119,003,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$2,218,000), Working Drawings (\$2,568,000), and Construction (\$114,217,000). The construction amount includes \$90,520,000 for the construction contract, \$1,866,000 for contingency, \$7,245,000 for architectural and engineering services, \$13,142,000 for agency retained items, and \$6,230,000 for other project costs. The current project schedule outlines Preliminary Plans, which began in March 2024 and estimated to be completed in January 2025. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in January 2025 and will be approved in March 2025. Construction is scheduled to begin in June 2025 and will be completed in July 2027.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

This project will support the university's three new engineering programs – Computer Engineering, Software Engineering, and Electrical Engineering, which is identified in the project feasibility study completed in 2019. According to the Demand, Capacity Assessment, and Cost Analysis for Campus Sites report which was transmitted to the Legislature and presented to the CSU Board of Trustees in the July 21-22, 2020, meeting, over the next 15 years, CSU enrollment in San Diego Cluster is projected to increase by 5,700 FTES, or 13%. Strong projected occupational demand in the San Diego Cluster offers many opportunities for CSU graduates, with the greatest demand for computer science and math workers and engineers. The shares of degrees to occupational demand in 2026 is 23% for computer science and math workers and 38% for engineers. In 2025-2026 CSU Undergraduate Impacted Programs, Engineering is one of the impacted academic programs at California State University San Marcos (CSU San Marcos). CSU San Marcos is in a unique position to partner with the regional business community and must provide the critical space needed for the new engineering programs, support teaching, learning, research, and collaboration in active-learning environments, and propel innovation in the science and engineering programs.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

The new building will allow CSU San Marcos to respond to the space need for the new engineering programs. This project will align campus physical development with its academic programming goals. CSU San Marcos can assist in cultivating STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) careers for underrepresented students and with 85% of alumni staying within the local community, the university can become an integral member of the regional STEM industries through research collaborations and growing the local workforce.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1 – No Project

Not moving forward with a new Integrated Sciences and Engineering building would be detrimental to CSU San Marcos' ability to meet the student demands for academic programs, to continue to recruit faculty, and to deliver the essential, high-quality instruction for these programs. CSU San Marcos' inability to respond to the growing enrollment and new academic programs would have an adverse impact on student learning, retention, and the future growth of the university. Because of the significant implications of not implementing a new building, this alternative is not considered a feasible alternative.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Alternative 2 - Integrated Sciences and Engineering Building

This option will construct a new 70,080 ASF/116,800 GSF Integrated Sciences and Engineering building for the College of Science and Mathematics (CSM). This building will provide classrooms, teaching labs, research labs, student clubs space, offices, and a cafeteria. It will accommodate Software Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Chemistry, Biology, Computer Science, Physics, and College of Science and Mathematics Dean's Office Suite. This project will provide a new home for the College of Science and Mathematics. The anticipated cost for this option is around \$200,000,000.

Alternative 3 – Integrated Sciences and Engineering Building (for Engineering only)

This option will construct a new 46,262 ASF/70,649 GSF Integrated Sciences and Engineering building to provide 156 stations of lecture and 38 faculty offices. The total is 466 stations of teaching lab and graduate research space for computer science and computer engineering, software engineering, and electrical engineering. Some computer science program space will be consolidated from two existing buildings into the new building to improve the collaboration with the new Computer Engineering and Software Engineering program. This option was identified as the preferred alternative. It will allow CSU San Marcos to respond to the space need for the new engineering programs.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 3 is the recommended solution to address the immediate program needs and to meet CSU San Marcos' strategic goals. This alternative will meet the space demand for teaching labs for computer science, accommodate teaching and learning space for the new engineering programs – Computer Engineering, Software Engineering, and Electrical Engineering, and consolidate computer science program from two buildings into the new building to improve the collaboration with the new Computer Engineering and Software Engineering program, and address campuswide space deficiency in faculty offices.

2. Detailed scope description.

This project will construct a new 46,262 ASF/70,649 GSF Integrated Sciences and Engineering building (#36) to support the three new engineering programs. This project will provide 555 Full-time Equivalent (FTE) (363 FTE in lecture, 41 FTE in lower division laboratory, 151 FTE in upper division laboratory), 38 faculty offices, and student research laboratories for computer science, computer engineering, software engineering, and electrical engineering. Computer Science program will move out from existing space in Academic Hall 1 and Science II. The space vacated by computer science will address the space need for faculty offices and instructional activities space for Biology and Physics.

The project will be built on an undeveloped, 2.46-acre area east of the campus core adjacent to an existing hillside. The proposed project requires re-routing of an existing service road, construction of a retaining wall, and a 750-foot underground utility tunnel extension. Other on-site improvements include a loading area, high-capacity passenger elevator, landscaping, exterior lighting, emergency generator, building and wayfinding signage, fire lane access, fire hydrants, and trash enclosure.

3. Basis for cost information.

The project is currently in the schematic design phase. The cost information provided represents the best estimate by the contractor C.W. Driver based on the current design. Project funding is requested through a combination of systemwide and campus sources.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

The recommended solution addresses the space and programmatic needs of the university in a new, energy efficient, and appropriate space. It also allows for the housing of much needed programs that are experiencing growth on campus.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The building is eligible for support budget maintenance funding. The cost to fund regular maintenance of this facility is \$23.79 per GSF, for a total of \$1,680,000.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

This project poses no project risks beyond those normally associated with general construction of institutional construction projects.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

State Fire Marshal, Division of State Architect (DSA), Seismic Review Board, Mechanical Review Board, City of San Marcos Fire Department

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes. The proposed project promotes infill development and is located on a site identified for future development on the approved Master Plan, which takes advantage of existing infrastructure. This project is an infill project consistent with state priorities and does not contribute to urban sprawl.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes. The proposed project is an infill development which utilizes an existing site and infrastructure. In addition, the proposed concept design demonstrates an approach that includes an all-electric building system performance and achieves the Zero Net Energy (ZNE) and Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) platinum goals.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes. The proposed project site will encourage more centralized development patterns on campus and will support the efficient use of land for future expansion of the building. The site design will also increase pedestrian connections across the campus.

CPDC Proj No: SM-01202	THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY	ograted Sciences and Engineering Bidg CPDC 2-7 ni mc JEA Date: 08/01/24
Project Type: MAJOR	CAPITAL OUTLAY ESTIMATE (Form CPDC 2-7)	Budget Year: 2025/26
	Project Schedule Durat	
Campus: CSU SAN MARCOS	Project Started @ Mar-24 Schematics Approval (BOT) @ Nov-24	EPI: 5000
Project: Integrated Sciences and Engineering Building	Preliminary Plans Completed@ Jan-25	60 NEW RENO
integrated objetices and Engineering Building	Working Drawings Completed@ Mar-25	60 ASF 46,262
Arch/Engr: HGA	Construction Started (NTP)@ Jun-25	90 GSF 70,649
Contractor: C.W. Driver	Construction Completed (NOC)@ Jul-27	780 Efficiency: 65.48% 0.00%
Delivery Type: COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	240
Milestone: SCHEMATIC		VATION TOTAL \$/sq.ft.
BUILDING	STATE CAMPUS STATE	CAMPUS
A10 Foundations		
A20 Basement Construction		
A SUBSTRUCTURE		9. 1,872,000 \$26.50
B10 Superstructure(Vertical, Floor, & Roof)		
B20 Exterior Enclosure	·	
B SHELL		\$ 0 \$ 15,631,000 \$221.25
C10 Interior Construction.		<u> </u>
C20 Stairways		
C30 Interior Finishes	\$ 4,600,630	
C INTERIORS	\$ 11,214,000 \$ 0 \$	5 11,214,000 \$158.73
D10 Conveying Systems		
D20 Plumbing Systems		
D30 HVAC Systems		
D40 Fire Protection Systems		
D50 Electrical Systems		
D BUILDING SERVICES		9 21,956,000 \$310.78
E10 Group I Equipment	·	
E20 Furnishings (i.e.Group I casework)		
E EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS		9 9 2,506,000 \$35.47
F10 Special Construction	· — · — · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
F20 Selective Demolition (Excluding hazmat removal)		
F2020 Hazardous Material Removal	·	
F50 Sustainable Building Measures.		
F SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITIONF60 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS - Building		\$ 0
1. TOTAL BUILDING		\$ 0 \$ 56,283,000 \$796.66
G1020 Site Prep & Site Improvements		0
G3040 Site Utilities (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical & Telecom)		Bldg+GC+Ins \$985
G2050 Landscape Budget		
G50 Sustainable Site Measures		
G90 Other Site Construction (Utility Tunnel)	\$ 4,462,745	
G100 General Requirements - Sitework		
2. TOTAL SITEWORK	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9 13,018,000 \$184.26
3. SUBTOTAL: BUILDING and SITEWORK		\$ 0 \$ 69,301,000
4. Escalation to midpoint of Construction.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 0 \$ 6,312,000
S. SUBTOTAL: BUILDING, SITEWORK AND ESCALATION 6. Z10 Design Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$ 75,613,000 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 2,579,915 \$ 0 \$ 0	5 0 5 75,613,000 \$1,070.26 \$ 0 5 2,579,915 \$197.11
a. Preconstruction Services For Design-Build Phase (Phase 2)	\$ 218,370 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$0
b. Site Management during Construction	\$ 5,954,524 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 5,954,524
c. DB Payment and Peformance Bonds	\$ 651,028 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 651,028
d. Subcontractor Payment and Performance Bonds	\$ 967,847 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 967,847
e. Construction Phase OH&P	\$ 3,553,811 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 3,553,811
f. DB Contingency	\$ 3,780,000 \$ 0 \$	\$ 0 \$ 3,780,000
7. TOTAL GMP	\$ <u>93,318,000</u> \$ <u>0</u> \$ <u>0</u>	93,318,000 \$1,320.87
8. FEES & CONTINGENCY (Basic Services)		CAMPUS
a. Design Services For Design Phase (Phase 1)		\$ <u>0</u> \$
c. Project Management and Administration		\$ 0
d. Campus Project Contingency	\$ 1,866,000	\$ 0 \$1,866,000
e. Total Fees & Contingency		\$ 0 \$ 12,888,000
9. SUBTOTAL: CONSTRUCTION COST, FEES & CONTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e)		\$ 106,206,000
CEQA On-Site/Off-Site Mitigation		\$ <u>0</u> \$
Required Additional Services During PW Phase. Required Additional Services During Construction		\$ 0
a. Builders Risk Insurance Premium/ Seismic Fund		\$
b. Owner Controlled Insurance Premium	\$ <u>1,815,000</u>	\$0
13. SUBTOTAL: PROJECT COST excl. Group II Equipment		\$ 0 \$ 112,773,000 \$1,596.24
14. Group II Equipment		\$0 \$ 0 \$ 119,003,000 \$1,684.43
16. Project Funds	φ_119,003,000	ψ φ <u>113,003,000</u>
a. Campus Designated Reserves		\$7,133,000_C
b. Systemwide Revenue Bond (2022-2023)		\$ 4,786,000 PW
c. Systemwide Revenue Bond		\$ 107,084,000 CE
d. Donor		\$
17. Additional Funds Required (Item 15 minus Items 16a thru 16e)		\$
18. Project Fund Schedule Received prior to 2025/26	State Campus . \$ 4,786,000 \$	State Campus
Requested for 2025/26		2,218,000 P 0 P
Requested of 2025/26 Requested after 2025/26	:	2,568,000 W 0 W
سندر		100,854,000 C7,133,000 C
Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:56 PDT)	_	105,640,000 7,133,000
Paul Gannoe, Assistant Vice Chancellor The California State University Conited Planning Design and Construction		6,230,000 E 0 E
The California State University, Capital Planning, Design and Construction		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposal (COBCP) - Cover Sheet DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Fiscal Year	Business	: Unit	Department	ı	Priority No.	
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap he	re to enter text.
Budget Request Name		Capital Outlay P	rogram ID	Capital	Outlay Projec	et ID
Click or tap here to enter text.		Click or tap here to e	enter text.	Click or ta	p here to enter	text.
Project Title Sonoma – Utilities Infrastru	ucture (W	/ater)				
Project Status and Type Status: ⊠ New □ Cont	inuing		Type: ⊠Major	☐ Minor		
	-					
		I Space Deficiencies		Population		
		space Deficiencies)		гориалоп,		
(Fire Life Safety)		odernization)		ation)		Conservation)
Total Request (in thousands \$ 44,540)	Phase(s) to be Fu	unded		-	thousands)
California State University Click or tap here to enter text						
infrastructure is failing in mo fragile and outdated water delivery of safe drinking wa	iny areas. delivery s ter, and a	The systems have systems. The project system made of r	leaks that are unab ct will provide for de modern safe materi	le to be o creased	completely re water usage	epaired with , more reliable
Requires Legislation	Code Se	ection(s) to be Add	ded/Amended/Rep	ealed	CCCI	
□ Yes ⊠ No	Click or to	p here to enter text.			10461	
-	ige				ded 🗆 Exis	ting
Impact on Support Budget			-			
One-Time Costs ☐ Yes	⊠ No		- ·			
•			Generate Surplus	Property	⊔ Yes	⊠ No
If proposal affects another of Attach comments of affects	-	-				
Prepared By D.Warotama ^{Dolly} U.Warotama	Date 8/30/202	24	Reviewed By J.Andersen	mense ug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT)	Date 8/30/2024	
P. Gannoe Paul Gannoe (Aug 27, 2024 17:35 PDT	Date 8/30/202	24	Agency Secretary P. Gannoe		Date 8/30/2024	
Principal Program Budget A Click or tap here to enter text.	nalyst	Department of	Finance Use Only Date submitted to Click or tap to enter o	_	slature	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COBCP - Narrative DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Design-Build/Progressive Design-Build projects:

Utilities Infrastructure – The project will address Sonoma State's highest priority deficiencies in campus water infrastructure and distribution systems. This project proposes to replace the original 1961 water utility infrastructure including the domestic/potable well-water, fire water, chilled water, hot water, artificial pond water reclamation infrastructure, and reclaimed water systems, which are past their expected useful life. The existing water system infrastructure is failing in many areas. The systems have leaks that are unable to be completely repaired with fragile and outdated water delivery systems. The project will provide for decreased water usage, more reliable delivery of safe drinking water, and a system made of modern safe materials and equipment. This project will establish a water system that is reliable, redundant, efficient, and resilient.

Total project costs are estimated at \$44,540,000, including Preliminary Plans (\$1,586,000), Working Drawings (\$1,528,000), and Design-Build (\$41,426,000). The current project schedule estimates Preliminary Plans will begin in July 2025 and will be completed in March 2026. The Working Drawings are estimated to begin in March 2026 and will be approved in June 2026. Construction is scheduled to begin in October 2026 and will be completed in September 2029.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

The proposed projects will address Sonoma State's highest priority deficiencies in campus water infrastructure. These deficiencies are numerous. First, the campus currently lacks a secondary water source. Second, existing water piping is far past its expected 50-year lifespan, is fragile and in constant need of repair, has asbestos content that poses greater and greater potential health risk as the pipes deteriorate, and lacks sufficient valves to isolate portions of the campus for repairs. Leaks are also a major problem within the aging water system. This project would eliminate costly annual repairs and eliminate an estimated loss of 16,000 Gallons Per Day (GPD) of water due to existing water leaks. Campus has also had to issue boil-water notices on several occasions to the campus community, posing a health and a reputational risk for Sonoma State. Third, the campus has issues with the reliability of their fire water supply. The main source of fire water for campus buildings is reclaimed water from the City of Santa Rosa, the supply of which is not guaranteed.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

Sonoma State University must have a domestic and fire suppression water system that allows the university to accomplish its mission, express the university's values, and focus on strategic priorities. The replacement of Sonoma State's water infrastructure is a critical project that will allow the campus the ability to complete its core mission of instructing students for years to come. This proposed project provides reliability and safety of campus operations, in pursuit and fulfillment of Sonoma State's Strategic Priorities, Climate Action, Resilience and Carbon Neutrality goals and plans. Replacing these critical infrastructure systems, many of which are past their useful life, reduces the likelihood of failures that can cause major campus disruption.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alt-1. Implementation of the Project as described below. The project would take approximately 1.5 years in planning/permitting and 3 years to implement over summer and winter breaks to minimize disruption to campus and its academic mission.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Alt-2. P3 (Private-Public Partnership). Research would be required to identify a local utility company to build out and manage the infrastructure. This alternate option would also require campus to incur costs and to pay for the water service. P3 partners often have higher financing costs than CSU systemwide borrowing costs and campus would end up paying those additional costs.

Alt-3. Implementation of the project through available grant funding. This approach would require a consultant team to perform extensive research to determine what grants are available for an infrastructure project. Research might include if this project would qualify for Prop. 68 and Prop. 84 funding that California Natural Resources Department has available in the form of Block Grants, as well as San Francisco Bay Area Conservancy Climate Adaptation Funds. This would require seed-money to hire specialized grant consultants. If funds were awarded from all possible grants, there may still be a shortfall of funds to complete the full scope of the projects proposed and an alternative funding source would need to be secured.

Alt-4. Do nothing. This is not a viable choice. The water infrastructure is outdated and faulty, causing the ongoing loss of natural and fiscal resources in addition to many labor hours. The nearly four-decade piecemeal repair of the system is no longer a feasible or viable choice. It is at the point where the next major repair, and there will be another, will have a lasting impact on the credibility of the campus to provide for their residential students. Having a reliable infrastructure and providing access to water is critical to Sonoma State being able to continue to meet its mission and its obligation to its students, faculty, staff, and the greater community. If funding is not allocated or found, the alternative is the status quo and repair as issues arise.

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 1, implementation of project as proposed, is the most reasonable pathway forward to have reliability, redundancy, efficiency, and resilience of the fire-suppression and domestic water systems at Sonoma State University that are critical to the campus daily operations and fulfillment of its mission.

- 2. Detailed scope description.
 - 1. Replace existing domestic water distribution piping

This includes all main and branch lines for the potable water serving the academic and administration buildings and residential spaces. The system consists of over 10 miles of piping from campus wells on the west side of campus to the water tanks on the east side of campus, as well as distribution side pipes and valves supplying all campus and buildings. This project includes replacing piping, replacing existing valves, and adding additional isolation valves to properly isolate the flow of water.

2. City Water Connection Redundancy

On-site well water is the only source of domestic/potable water for the entire campus. An engineering feasibility study needs to be completed to determine the route for campus to connect to either the City of Rohnert Park or Sonoma Water Agency water distribution. The connection would occur at Petaluma Hill Road as both City and Water Agency lines run parallel in a shared trench. Work required would be trenching and installing new piping, adding isolation valves, and connecting to existing three water storage tanks, installed in 2018-2021. In the event wells fail, this connection provides the campus with the necessary redundancy and secondary source of water. Bringing in this redundant water line will also require the campus to budget for additional operating costs.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

3. Fire Suppression Connection

The project will resolve current design issues with the fire suppression water system. It will disconnect existing fire suppression water access from the City of Santa Rosa's reclaimed water and have primary fire suppression water delivered via campus domestic well water. The secondary/back-up fire suppression water source will be provided by the water redundancy when connecting to either the City of Rohnert Park or Sonoma Water Agency as described in the above City Water Connection Redundancy. As previously described, the existing fire suppression water design and sources are problematic and leave Sonoma State's resources vulnerable and potentially unprotected in the case of a fire emergency.

4. Underground Utilities Chilled-Water (CHW) Pipes/Valves Replacement

This scope will replace approximately two miles of underground CHW pre-insulated steel pipes, at the end of their life, and the inoperable isolation valves with new pipe, replace and add additional isolation valves to efficiently manage, and maintain and control water distribution lines. CHW pipes would be abandoned as the pipe is beyond its useful life; trenching would occur and new piping and valves installed. Existing lines cannot be used as the pipe connections are currently patched together and connecting to new piping is not a viable option. Installation of new lines also avoids needing to abate existing underground water lines and tie-overs will have minimal disruption to campus.

5. Chilled Water Lines

This project would eliminate costly annual repairs and eradicate an estimated loss of 16,000 Gallons Per Day (GPD) of water due to existing water leaks. In terms of costs, 16,000 gallons per day is equivalent to 18-acre-feet water per year. Using Sonoma County Water Agency wholesale cost per acre foot \$1,374.21 (Fiscal Year 2022), this would equate to an annual loss of \$24,736 for a leak this size. Campus has experienced over 40 years of steady infrastructure decline. The estimated overall value of leaked water may range as high as \$500,000-\$989,000.

6. Underground Utilities Hot-Water (HW) Pipes/Valves Replacement

This project will replace approximately three miles of underground high temperature hot water lines and isolation valves. The existing high temperature hot water mains are direct-buried steel lines with asbestos insulation, are 61 years old, and are at the end of their useful life. The hot water piping is experiencing leakages, asbestos insulation is failing, and ball joints are experiencing failures resulting in interruption of hot water/heat to a group of buildings on campus. Heating hot water (HHW) pipes would be abandoned as the existing pipe is beyond its useful life and in such disrepair that it is not feasible to try and connect to it. Extensive excavation would occur and new piping and valves installed. Installing new piping and valves would also eliminate the need to perform abatement of the existing underground water lines and allow for only minimal disruption to the campus during the tie-over time. This project will require outside engineering services to determine how the campus can phase the replacements of High Temp lines throughout, perhaps break the campus into sections so that all repairs in a section could be completed at once to reduce multiple closures of specific hot- and cold-water line areas.

7. SSU-6 Fire Lane Campus Loop

This project proposes a fire access road for the north side of the main campus to create a complete loop around the campus. The new 20-foot-wide fire road would need to be evaluated to determine the optimal location: Option A: behind Wine Spectator, International Hall, Art buildings, extending Zelkova Lane to Parking Lot A, or Option B: from Lot G, the lane runs parallel with Copeland Creek into Lot A. The selected location access will be limited to emergency vehicles in order to keep the area for pedestrians as is the current use and limit vehicular traffic along the creek to protect the natural resource.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

8. Pond Dredging, Aeration Pumps and Filters

This project involves permitting and planning to dredge the artificial ponds (also referred to as lakes) to return them to their as-built condition with a depth of 6-8 feet. Today these ponds are only 2-3 feet deep due to the build-up of silt over the past 60 years. This project will include the installation of properly engineered circulating pumps to adequately aerate the water, and engineered filtration appropriate to support, protect and maintain the improved system. Current water conditions are stagnated, unsightly, and do not support an environment of care.

3. Basis for cost information.

The cost information is based on estimates developed using ISES and 2016 P2S Feasibility Study budget and CPDC 2-7 form. Anticipated funding sources are State of California Funds. In 2021 the campus pursued, but did not receive, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grants for these projects.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

Sonoma State University was built in the early 1960s. The infrastructure installed at that time was likely state-of-the-art. All infrastructure requires maintenance and ultimately replacement. This equipment has served campus well over the years, however, it has come to the point where it is no longer viable to maintain. Beyond the initial benefit of having a fully functioning water delivery system and all that entails – reduced energy costs, eliminating the waste of precious resource water, and having faith that chemicals won't get into the groundwater system, this project will allow Sonoma State to proceed with the commitment to sustainability. The implementation of this project aligns with campus strategic, climate- and carbon-neutral initiatives, which depend on water system upgrades and efficiencies.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The exact impact to the support budget for all the projects is undetermined. However, these projects in general will result in lower utility and operational costs due to more efficient systems being installed and removal of leaks within the system. The project would need to be completed in a phased approach in order to keep campus operations running while replacement efforts are underway. A complete analysis will be completed if funding is approved, however, the project funding to replace water-systems infrastructure will go towards addressing the existing over \$105 million in infrastructure deferred maintenance backlog.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

No significant project risks associated with these projects. Rather, the risk is in continuing to operate the system in its deteriorated state.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Office of the State Fire Marshal, Division of the State Architect, Mechanical Review Board, Seismic Review Board

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes, the majority of these projects rehabilitate existing infrastructure.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes. These projects will be constructed in general existing developed areas of campus.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes, these projects generally address existing systems in place.

	C Proj I ct Typ		CTURE IMPROVEMENT			HE CALIFORNIA AL OUTLAY ES							В	Date: <u>0</u> udget Year: 2	
						Project Schedul			•	Durat	ion			CCCI: 1	0461
_		20110111	TATE !!!!!/EDOIT!/			Project Started				Jul-25	400			EPI : 5	000
Camp			STATE UNIVERSITY			Schematics Appr					160		.	0	D
Proje	Ct:	Othlities infra	astructure (Water)			Preliminary Plans Working Drawing				//ar-26 Jun-26	90 90	ASF	Ne	w Const	Reno
Arch/	Engr:	TBD				Construction Sta					120	GSF	_		
	ractor:	TBD				Construction Cor	,			Sep-29 1,	080 I	Efficiency:	_	0.00%	0.00%
Deliv	ery Ty	pe:	COLLABORATIVE	DESIGN-BUILD		Total Project Dur					540	•			
Miles	tone:		BUDGET @ CO	BCP/AMEND		NEW COM	NSTR				VATIO		_	TOTAL	\$/sq.ft.
E	BUILDI	NG				STATE		CAMPUS	STA	TE		CAMPUS			
P	A10 F	Foundations			\$		_								
A	A20 E	Basement Construc	ction		\$		_								
-	A	SUBSTRUCTUR	E		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
E	B10 S	Superstructure(Ver	tical, Floor, & Roof)		\$										
Е	B20 E	Exterior Enclosure.			\$		_								
Е	B30 F	Roofing			\$										
E	В	SHELL			\$ [*]	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
(C10 I	Interior Construction	n		\$										
(C20 S	Stairways			\$										
							_								
	С					0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$_ <u></u>	0	#DIV/0!
							_								
							_								
		•					-								
		•					_				_				
		•					-								
	D5050 D					0	e -	0	e —	0	ę —	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
							Ψ_		»		³		*—		#DIV/0!
							-				_	-			
	E .		. ,			0	s -	0	<u></u>	0	s —	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
							Ψ_		-		* —		*—		IIDIVIO.
				/al)			-				_				
							_				_				
							-				_				
F	F		•	N		0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
F	F60						. –				·-		·—	0	#DIV/0!
1. 1	TOTAL	BUILDING			\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	#DIV/0!
(G1020	Site Prep & S	Site Improvements		\$	0	-	0		0		0	_		
(G3040	Site Utilities (Civil, Mechanical, Electrical	al & Telecom)	\$	5,050,000	_		7,44	16,000				Bldg+GC+Ins	\$0
(G2050	Landscape B	udget		\$	2,289,000	_							_	
(G50	Sustainable S	Site Measures		\$				4,1	15,000					
(G90	Other Site Co	onstruction		\$				6,56	68,000					
(G100	General Req	uirements - Sitework		\$	1,000,000									
2. 1	TOTAL	SITEWORK			\$	8,339,000	\$_	0		29,000	\$	0	\$	26,468,000	#DIV/0!
3. 8	SUBTO	TAL: BUILDING a	nd SITEWORK		\$	8,339,000	\$_	0	\$ 18,12	29,000	\$	0	\$	26,468,000	
						1,152,000	\$_	0	\$ 2,50	05,000	\$	0	\$	3,657,000	
5. 8				ATION	\$	9,491,000	\$_	0		34,000	\$	0	\$	30,125,000	#DIV/0!
6 . Z		•	or Design-Build Phase (Pha	,	\$	266,000	\$_	0		78,000	\$	0	\$	844,000	#DIV/0!
			rvices For Design-Build Pha	, ,	\$	57,000	\$_	0		24,000	\$	0	\$	181,000	
		•	luring Construction		\$	513,000	\$_	0		14,000	\$ <u> </u>	0	\$	1,627,000	
		•	eformance Bonds		\$	85,000	\$_	0		36,000	\$	0	\$	271,000	
			ment and Performance Bon		\$	85,000	\$_	0		36,000	^{\$} —	0	<u>\$</u> —	271,000	
			OH&P		\$	522,000	\$_			35,000	\$ <u></u>	0	<u>*</u> —	1,657,000	
		- 5 ,			\$	475,000	*-			32,000	<u>*</u> —	0	<u>*</u> —	1,507,000	//DIV//01
			(Paris Caminas)		\$	11,494,000	\$_	0	\$ 24,98 STA	39,000	* <u> </u>	CAMPUS 0	^{\$} _	36,483,000	#DIV/0!
о. г										35,000	<u>s</u>	0			
		9	3 (Phase 1)						71,000	\$	0			
	c. F	Project Managemer	nt and Administration						\$ 2,5	76,000	\$	0			
	d. (Campus Project Co	ntingency							29,000	\$	0	_	\$1,229,000	
										11,000	\$	0	\$	5,341,000	
				NTINGENCY (Items 7 & 8e).						24,000	\$ <u> </u>	0	^{\$}	41,824,000	
										0 54,000	*—	0			
										15,000	ψ	0			
12.				nd						24,000	\$—	0			
										23,000	\$	0			
13. 5	SUBTO	TAL: PROJECT C	OST excl. Group II Equip	oment						10,000	\$	0	\$	44,540,000	#DIV/0!
14. (Group I	I Equipment							\$	0	\$	0			
			incl. Group II Equipment	t					\$ 44,54	10,000	\$	0	\$	44,540,000	#DIV/0!
16 . F	Project		d Danamusa								æ				
											\$	11 510 000	21410		
		•									ъ́-	44,540,000 F	- VV C		
											ъ —				
17		•		thru 16o)							ъ́ —	0			
		onal Funds Required at Fund Schedule	a (nem 13 milius nems 168	a thru 16e)		State			Cam		Φ				
		Received prior to	2025/26		\$				\$			State		Campus	
		Requested for	2025/26			44,540,000			\$			1,586,000 F	0	0 P	,
		Requested after	2025/26			.,,			\$			1,528,000 \		0 V	
											_	41,426,000		<u> </u>	;
	_	Polly U.Warotama										44,540,000		0	
			stant Vice Chancellor	Declaration 10								0 E	Ε	0 E	
		rne California State	- university Capital Plannin	ng. Design and Construction											

Fiscal Year	Busines	s Unit	Department		Priority No.		
2025-2026	6610		California State University		Click or tap here to enter te		
Budget Request Name	'	Capital Outlay Pr	rogram ID	Capital	Outlay Proje	ct ID	
Click or tap here to enter text	•	Click or tap here to e	enter text.	Click or to	ap here to ente	text.	
Project Title Statewide - Infrastructure	: Improveme	ents					
Project Status and Type							
Status: ⊠ New □ Co	ontinuing		Type: ⊠Major	□ Mino	r		
Project Category (Select	one)						
⊠CRI	□WSD		□ECP		□SM		
(Critical Infrastructure)		d Space Deficiencies)	(Enrollment Caseloo	ad Populatioi			
□FLS (Fire Life Safety)	□FM (Facility N	Nodernization)	□PAR (Public Access Rec	reation)	□RC (Resource	Conservation)	
Total Request (in thousan \$ 699,107		Phase(s) to be Fu	•		oject Cost (ir		
Budget Request Summar							
modernized for seismic st effectively, to improve et greenhouse gas (GHG) e	fficiency, to	reduce energy an	d lighting costs, to	reduce w	•		
Requires Legislation	Code S	ection(s) to be Add	ded/Amended/Re	epealed	CCCI		
□ Yes ⊠ No	Click or to	ap here to enter text.			10461		
Requires Provisional Lang ☐ Yes ☐ No	guage		Budget Packag □ Needed	e Status ⊠ Not Nee	ded □ Exi	sting	
Impact on Support Budge	et		•				
One-Time Costs ☐ Ye			Swing Space No		□ Yes	⊠ No	
Future Savings			Generate Surpl	us Property	⁄ □ Yes	⊠ No	
Future Costs	s ⊠ No						
If proposal affects anothe Attach comments of afi	=	=					
			a dated by the a			□ No Iesignee.	
Prepared By H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:51 PDT)	Date 8/30/20	24	Reviewed By P. Gannoe	J Mannet De (Aug 27, 2024 17:36 PD	Date 8/30/2024		
Prepared By H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:51 PDT) Department Director	1	24	Reviewed By P. Gannoe Paul Ganno Agency Secrete	ary			
H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:51 PDT)	- 8/30/20		Reviewed By P. Gannoe	ary	8/30/2024	lesignee.	
H. Lin Hong Lin (Aug 27, 2024 15:51 PDT) Department Director	- 8/30/20 Date	24	Reviewed By P. Gannoe Paul Ganno Agency Secrete	Ory J. MarmeL e (Aug 27, 2024 17:36 PD	8/30/2024 Date	lesignee.	

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

A. COBCP Abstract:

Statewide - Infrastructure Improvements – \$699,107,000
The project will address CSU's highest priority deficiencies in campus infrastructure, facilities, and distribution systems. Total project costs are estimated at \$699,107,000, including Performance Criteria (\$34,955,000), Working Drawings (\$34,955,000), and Design-Build (\$629,197,000). The current project schedule estimates Performance Criteria will begin in August 2025 and will be approved in February 2026. Design-Build is scheduled to begin in May 2026 and will be completed in June 2029.

B. Purpose of the Project: (Background, problem, program need, infrastructure deficiency. If reappropriation request, include explanation/justification for request)

The proposed projects will address CSU's highest priority deficiencies in campus infrastructure, facilities, and distribution systems. Critical deficiencies identified throughout the 23-university system and the Chancellor's Office will be addressed to enable the universities to continue essential operations, reduce the likelihood of catastrophic failures, and meet current code requirements to operate safe facilities. Major building systems will be modernized to enable them to operate utilities more effectively, increase resiliency, address seismic concerns, improve Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems efficiency, reduce energy and lighting costs, reduce water consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, and extend the useful life of existing facilities. The project will also address the CSU campuses' priority projects to replace or upgrade existing energy management systems (EMS), metering systems and controls.

The requested appropriation for the statewide Infrastructure Improvement project would be distributed among the campuses as indicated on the attached project list. Projects will be funded for Preliminary Plans, Working Drawings, and Construction (PWC) in fall 2025 and spring 2026 based on cash flow need and availability of funding. If for some reason conditions warrant a substitution of a listed project, an alternate will be selected of a similar priority and equivalent cost.

See Attachment 1 for the complete list and dollar amount of the statewide campus projects from which these projects will be selected.

C. Relationship to the Strategic Plan: (relevance of problem/need to mission and goals)

Addressing systemwide infrastructure needs is core to achieving university missions and goals and enables the universities to effectively and efficiently meet student needs in a more positive built environment. Replacing critical infrastructure systems, many of which are past their useful life, reduces the likelihood of failures that can cause a building, area, or entire campus to go off-line.

D. Alternatives: (for each, describe the proposed alternative and provide a brief summary of scope, cost, funding source, program benefits, facility management benefits, and impact on support budget)

Alternative 1: Structurally repair or replace building infrastructure and/or utility systems in order to support programmatic needs, reduce operational costs, and comply with building codes and CSU policies as proposed.

Alternative 2: Allow deficient infrastructure and building structural and mechanical components and/or systems that have exceeded their useful life to continue in operation until catastrophic failure.

DF-151 (REV 07/21)

E. Recommended Solution:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative 1: Structurally repair or replace building infrastructure and/or utility systems in order to support programmatic needs, reduce operational costs, and comply with building codes and CSU policies as proposed.

2. Detailed scope description.

See Attachment 1, 2025/2026 Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program Project List, for the complete list and dollar amount of the statewide campus projects.

3. Basis for cost information.

Costs were developed by university staff in consultation with third-party design professionals.

4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

Updating and improving aging infrastructure enables campuses to avoid potentially costly and disruptive system failures. Failure of an aging system that serves a building or portion of the campus can result in cancellation of classes and typically results in expensive emergency repairs. Updated, modern infrastructure also provides for a healthier environment in which students, faculty, and staff can be successful.

5. Complete description of impact on support budget.

The impact to the support budget for all the projects is undetermined. However, these projects in general will result in lower utility and operational costs due to more efficient systems being installed.

6. Identify and explain any project risks.

No significant project risks associated with these projects.

7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).

Office of the State Fire Marshal, Division of the State Architect, Mechanical Review Board, Seismic Review Board, CSU Office of Fire Safety

F. Consistency with Government Code Section 65041.1:

Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.

Yes, the majority of these projects rehabilitate existing infrastructure.

Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.

Yes, these projects will be constructed in general, in existing developed buildings and areas of campus.

Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.

Yes, these projects generally address existing systems, in place.

Attachments:

2025/2026 Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program Project List

2025/2026 Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program Project List

Cost Estimates are at Engineering News Record California Construction Cost Index 10461 and Equipment Price Index 5000

ACADEMIC PROJECTS¹

Campus	Project Title	Phase	Campus Reserves/ Other Budget	SRB-AP Budget	Total Project Budget	Cumulative Total Project Budget
Bakersfield	Lecture Building Renovation	PWC	0	1,870,000	1,870,000	1,870,000
Bakersfield	Building #23 Remodel, Ph. 2	PWC	0	1,780,000	1,780,000	3,650,000
Bakersfield	Classroom Building Renovation	PWC	0	3,780,000	3,780,000	7,430,000
Bakersfield	Administration Renovation	PWC	0	1,640,000	1,640,000	9,070,000
Channel Islands	New HVAC - El Dorado Hall	PWC	0	1,398,000	1,398,000	10,468,000
Channel Islands	New HVAC - Napa Hall	PWC	0	1,651,000	1,651,000	12,119,000
Channel Islands	New HVAC - Arroyo Hall Gym and Fitness Center	PWC	0	2,466,000	2,466,000	14,585,000
Chico	Title IX Facility Improvements	PWCE	0	1,515,000	1,515,000	16,100,000
Chico	Tribal Relations Relocation	PWC	0	1,010,000	1,010,000	17,110,000
Chico	Deen House Renovation	PWCE	0	505,000	505,000	17,615,000
Chico	Plumas Engr Lab Improvements	PWCE	0	3,030,000	3,030,000	20,645,000
Chico	Plumas Digital Media Lab Improvements	PWCE	0	505,000	505,000	21,150,000
Chico	388 Orange Street Renovation	PWCE	0	10,989,000	10,989,000	32,139,000
Dominguez Hills	Datacenter UPS Systems	PWC	0	3,301,000	3,301,000	35,440,000
Dominguez Hills	East Walkway Life Safety Project	PWC	0	5,790,000	5,790,000	41,230,000
Dominguez Hills	SBS Seismic and Fire/Life Safety Upgrades	PWC	0	9,265,000	9,265,000	50,495,000
Dominguez Hills	Cain Library Seismic Completion	PWC	0	5,790,000	5,790,000	56,285,000
Dominguez Hills	Campus Cable Upgrades - Fire Alarm Panel	PWC	0	579,000	579,000	56,864,000
Dominguez Hills	Solar Parking	PWC	0	1,390,000	1,390,000	58,254,000
Dominguez Hills	Redundant Fiber Pathway	PWC	0	8,208,000	8,208,000	66,462,000
Dominguez Hills	Computer Center, EOC and UPD	PWC	0	48,266,000	48,266,000	114,728,000
East Bay	Resilient Microgrid	PWC	330,000	3,038,000	3,368,000	118,096,000
East Bay	Accessibility Upgrades	PWC	278,000	2,534,000	2,812,000	120,908,000
East Bay	Lighting Upgrades	PWC	330,000	3,038,000	3,368,000	124,276,000
East Bay	Storm Drain Improvement	PWC	220,000	2,025,000	2,245,000	126,521,000
East Bay	Sanitary Sewer System Improvement	PWC	275,000	2,531,000	2,806,000	129,327,000
East Bay	Fire Hydrant Pressure Improvement	PWC	200,000	1,823,000	2,023,000	131,350,000
Fresno	ADA Upgrades	PWC	0	11,312,000	11,312,000	142,662,000
Fresno	Secured Access (Rekey)	С	0	1,234,000	1,234,000	143,896,000
Fresno	Exterior Building Systems Replacement	PWC	0	3,533,000	3,533,000	147,429,000
Fresno	Telecommunications	PWC	0	2,929,000	2,929,000	150,358,000
Fullerton	Nutwood Pedestrian Bridge	PWC	350,000	3,150,000	3,500,000	153,858,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Meter Upgrades	PWC	50,000	450,000	500,000	154,358,000
Fullerton	Secondary Data Center	PWC	450,000	4,050,000	4,500,000	158,858,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Microgrid	PWC	700,000		7,000,000	165,858,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Confined Space Upgrades	PWC	66,000		660,000	166,518,000
Fullerton	Campuswide HazMat Survey	PWC	100,000		1,000,000	167,518,000
Fullerton	Secondary MDF (Backbone Cabling Dist. Point)	PWC	200,000	1,800,000	2,000,000	169,518,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Battery	PWC	500,000	4,500,000	5,000,000	174,518,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Secondary Fiber Optic Backbone Infra.	PWC	500,000	4,500,000	5,000,000	179,518,000
Fullerton	Campuswide Fire/Life Safety & ADA Remediation	PWC	150,000	1,350,000	1,500,000	181,018,000
Humboldt	Accessibility Improvements	PWC	. 0	9,345,000	9,345,000	190,363,000
Humboldt	Gist Hall Renewal	PWC	2,307,000	2,000,000	4,307,000	194,670,000

2025/2026 Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program Project List

Cost Estimates are at Engineering News Record California Construction Cost Index 10461 and Equipment Price Index 5000

ACADEMIC PROJECTS¹ continued

ACADEMIC PROJECT			Campus		Total	Cumulative
_			Reserves/	SRB-AP	Project	Total Project
Campus	Project Title	Phase	Other Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget
Long Beach	Friendship Walk ADA, Ph. 1 - CP/USU Stair	PWC	0	2,450,000	2,450,000	197,120,000
Long Beach	Friendship Walk ADA, Ph. 2 - West Turn Stair	PWC	0	788,000	788,000	197,908,000
Long Beach	LIB Sunken Courtyard ADA Compliance	PWC	0	1,515,000	1,515,000	199,423,000
Long Beach	Corp Yard Replacement Facility	PWC	0	3,200,000	3,200,000	202,623,000
Long Beach	MSX HVAC Merv Filter Upgrades	PWC	0	1,515,000	1,515,000	204,138,000
Long Beach	MSX Pneumatic Control Conversion to DDC	PWC	0	2,020,000	2,020,000	206,158,000
Long Beach	Microbiology Exhaust System Upgrades	PWC PWC	0 0	12,120,000 1,161,000	12,120,000	218,278,000 219,439,000
Long Beach	FO3 AHU Replacement & DDC Upgrades for VAVs Administration Building Demolition	PWC	0	12,258,000	1,161,000 12,258,000	219,439,000
Los Angeles Los Angeles	Critical Structural Repair Water Intrusion	PWC		15,150,000	15,150,000	246,847,000
Maritime Academy	Facilities Grounds Replacement Building	PWC	0	2,752,000	2,752,000	249,599,000
_		PWC	23,000	705,000		
Maritime Academy	Lower Campus ADA Improvements		23,000		728,000	250,327,000
Maritime Academy	Power Metering & Demand Response Capability	PWC	0	914,000	914,000	251,241,000
Maritime Academy	Classroom Building & Electrical Repairs	PWC	0	1,450,000	1,450,000	252,691,000
Monterey Bay	Infrastructure Improvements	WC	0	3,819,000	3,819,000	256,510,000
Monterey Bay	ADA Projects	WC	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	257,510,000
Monterey Bay	Energy Efficiency Projects	PWC	0	800,000	800,000	258,310,000
Monterey Bay	Seismic Projects	С	0	2,400,000	2,400,000	260,710,000
Monterey Bay	IT Infrastructure Modernization	PWC	0	800,000	800,000	261,510,000
Northridge	University Library Life Safety & Exiting	PWC	0	9,500,000	9,500,000	271,010,000
Northridge	N. Field Substation Replace & Baseball Lighting Imp.	PWC	0	3,388,000	3,388,000	274,398,000
Northridge	Perimeter Building Security Controls Upgrade	PWC	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	275,398,000
Northridge	Gunshot Detection System & Security Cameras Upgrade	PWC	0	1,250,000	1,250,000	276,648,000
Northridge	Sanitary Sewer Improvements	PWC	0	5,000,000	5,000,000	281,648,000
Northridge	Plummer Street Renewal & ADA Improvements	PWC	0	3,933,000	3,933,000	285,581,000
Northridge	Live Oak Hall Elevator & ADA Improvement	PWC	0	3,293,000	3,293,000	288,874,000
Pomona	Water Treatment Plant Renewal & Expansion	PWC	0	3,500,000	3,500,000	292,374,000
Sacramento	ADA Upgrades	PWC	0	3,467,000	3,467,000	295,841,000
Sacramento	All-Gender Restrooms/Mothers Room	PWC	o	1,010,000	1,010,000	296,851,000
Sacramento	Domestic Water Upgrades, Ph. 1	PWC	0	3,205,000	3,205,000	300,056,000
Sacramento	Shelter in Place/Electronic Locks	PWC	0	1,939,000	1,939,000	301,995,000
Sacramento	ADA Restrooms	PWC	0	3,393,000	3,393,000	305,388,000
Sacramento	Occupational Health Therapy Remodel	PWC	0	4,321,000	4,321,000	309,709,000
San Bernardino	Storm Water Flood Prevention Infrastructure	PWC	0	1,008,000	1,008,000	310,717,000
San Bernardino	Access Barrier Removal	PWC	0	1,009,000	1,009,000	311,726,000
San Bernardino	All-Gender Restrooms	PWC	0	1,714,000	1,714,000	313,440,000
San Bernardino	Tennis Courts Resurfacing	PWC	0	1,208,000	1,208,000	314,648,000
San Bernardino	Drought Tolerant Landscaping	PWC	0	1,050,000	1,050,000	315,698,000
San Bernardino	Visual Arts / RAFFMA Humidity Control	PWC	0	1,918,000	1,918,000	317,616,000
San Bernardino	Building 23 Renewal	PWC	0	525,000	525,000	318,141,000
San Bernardino	Handball/Racquetball Courts Demolition	PWC	0	3,022,000	3,022,000	321,163,000
San Bernardino	Old Physical Education Pool Demolition	PWC	0	3,534,000	3,534,000	324,697,000
San Diego	Campuswide Utilities Upgrade 2	PWC	0	23,446,000	23,446,000	348,143,000
San Diego	Chemistry & Life Sciences Instructional Space Upgrade	PWCE	0	3,030,000	3,030,000	351,173,000

2025/2026 Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program Project List

Cost Estimates are at Engineering News Record California Construction Cost Index 10461 and Equipment Price Index 5000

ACADEMIC PROJECTS¹ continued

Campus	Project Title	Phase	Campus Reserves/ Other Budget	SRB-AP Budget	Total Project Budget	Cumulative Total Project Budget
San Francisco	Utility Master Plan Priority Projects	PWC	0	4,439,000	4,439,000	_
San Francisco	Hensill Hall Sprinkler & Fire Alarm	PW	0	6,328,000	6,328,000	
San Francisco	Old Admin Building Seismic Upgrade	PWC	0	4,200,000	4,200,000	
San Francisco	Hensill Hall Elevator Renewal	PW	0	2,954,000	2,954,000	
San Francisco	Cox Stadium ADA Upgrades	PWC	0	2,300,000	2,300,000	
San José	Campus Security Camera Network Renewal	PWC	433,000	3,892,000	4,325,000	
San José	Main Campus Exterior Lighting Retrofit	PWC	326,000	2,931,000	3,257,000	378,976,000
San José	Moss Landing Sea Water Pump Renewal	PWC	132,000	1,192,000	1,324,000	380,300,000
San José	Sanitary Sewer Infrastructure Renewal	PWC	166,000	1,490,000	1,656,000	
San José	Utility Infrastructure Renewal (Areas 3, 4 & 5)	PWC	821,000	7,388,000	8,209,000	
San José	South Campus Domestic Water Improvement	PWC	306,000	2,750,000	3,056,000	
San José	Central Plant Auxiliary Boiler NOX Installation	PWC	265,000	2,383,000	2,648,000	
San José	Central Plant Controls Renewal	PWC	159,000	1,430,000	1,589,000	
San José	Turbine Speed Controller Replacement	PWC	72,000	652,000	724,000	
San Luis Obispo	Solar PV & Battery Storage	PWC	12,000,000	. 0	12,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	Deep Energy	PWC	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	Academic Building Modernization	PWCE	0	7,000,000	7,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	Storm Drain Upsize	PWC		570,000	570,000	
San Luis Obispo	Water Purchase & Conveyance	APWC	5,000,000	2,000,000	7,000,000	, ,
San Luis Obispo	Network Infrastructure Expansion	PWC	0	2,000,000	2,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	Water Optimization / Resiliency	PWC		1,000,000	1,000,000	
San Luis Obispo	Utility Metering - Sanitary Sewer & Electrical	PWC		500,000	500,000	
San Marcos	Campus Circulation Walkway Safety	PWCE	0	8,000,000	8,000,000	
San Marcos	Utility Tunnel Extension	PWCE		9,827,000	9,827,000	
San Marcos	Fire Road/Fire Resiliency Improvements	PWCE		7,900,000	7,900,000	
San Marcos	DSX Locking Systems	PWCE		1,205,000	1,205,000	
Sonoma	Accessibility ADA Upgrades	PWC	0	8,993,000	8,993,000	
Sonoma	Security Measure Upgrades	PWC	0	6,600,000	6,600,000	
Stanislaus	ADA Barrier Removal	PWC	0	1,253,000	1,253,000	
Stanislaus	Art Sculpture Studio & ADA Restrooms	PWCE	0	7,038,000	7,038,000	
Stanislaus	Biological Sciences Renovation in Naraghi Hall	PWCE	0	1,135,000	1,135,000	
Stanislaus	Bio-Ag Produce Processing Station	PWCE	0	1,515,000	1,515,000	
Stanislaus	Naraghi Hall Ventilation Reduction	PWC	0	1,651,000	1,651,000	
Stanislaus	Naraghi Chiller Pumps	PWC		915,000	915,000	
Stanislaus	Campus Wayfinding	PWC		662,000	662,000	
Stanislaus	Stockton-Acacia Hall DM & Selective Demolition	PWC	ا ا	31,161,000	31,161,000	
Systemwide	Critical Infrastructure/Seismic	PWC	0	80,000,000	80,000,000	
Systemwide	Resiliency/Energy/Water Projects	PWC	0	50,000,000	50,000,000	
Systemwide	HVAC & Electrical Upgrades	PWC	0	50,000,000	50,000,000	
	sital and Infrastructure Improvements Program		\$ 29 709 000	\$ 669 398 000		

Total ACADEMIC Capital and Infrastructure Improvements Program

\$ 29,709,000 \$ 669,398,000 \$ 699,107,000 \$ 699,107,000

A = Acquisition / P = Preliminary Plans / W = Working Drawings / C = Construction / E = Equipment

Notes:

¹ The Infrastructure Improvements Program addresses smaller scale utility, building systems renewal, ADA, seismic strengthening, and minor upgrades. [The list does not include State Deferred Maintenance funding requests.]